

Medical Research Future Fund – Dementia, Ageing and Aged Care Mission

2021 Dementia, Ageing and Aged Care Grant Opportunity Guidelines

Opening date: 22 September 2021

Closing date for minimum data: 5pm ACT local time on 02 February 2022

Application closing date and time: 5pm ACT local time on 16 February 2022

Commonwealth policy entity: Australian Government Department of Health

Administering entity National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC)

Enquiries: Applicants requiring further assistance should direct enquiries

to their Eligible Organisation's Research Administration Officer.

Research Administration Officers can contact NHMRC's

Research Help Centre for further advice:

Phone: 1800 500 983

Email: help@nhmrc.gov.au

Questions should be submitted no later than 1:00pm ACT Local

Time on Wednesday 9 February 2022.

Date guidelines released: 20 September 2021

Type of grant opportunity: Targeted competitive

Contents

1.	About the Medical Research Future Fund7				
	1.1	Medical Research Future Fund (MRFF)	7		
	1.2	About the Dementia, Ageing and Aged Care Mission	7		
	1.3	About the 2021 Dementia, Ageing and Aged Care Grant Opportunity	8		
	1.4	Encouraging Partnerships	10		
2.	Grant	amount and grant period	11		
	2.1	Grants available	11		
	2.2	Project period	12		
3.	Eligibility criteria				
	3.1	Who is eligible?	12		
	3.2	Chief Investigators	13		
	3.3	Additional eligibility requirements	13		
4.	What	the grant money can be used for	14		
	4.1	Eligible activities	14		
	4.2	Equipment	14		
	4.3	Personnel	15		
	4.4	Other Direct Research Costs	16		
	4.5	Accessing existing research infrastructure	16		
	4.6	Travel and overseas expenditure	17		
	4.7	What the grant money cannot be used for	17		
5.	The assessment criteria				
	5.1	The assessment criteria for Stream 1 (Accelerator)	19		
	5.1.1	Assessment Criterion 1 - Project impact (40% weighting)	19		
	5.1.2	Assessment Criterion 2 – Project methodology (30% weighting)	19		
	5.1.3	Assessment Criterion 3 - Capacity, capability and resources to deliver the project (30% weighting)	20		
	5.1.4	Assessment Criterion 4 - Overall Value and Risk of the Project (non-weighted)	21		
	5.2	The assessment criteria for Streams 2 and 3 (Targeted Call for Research)	22		
	5.2.1	Assessment Criterion 5 - Project impact (40% weighting)	22		
	5.2.2	Assessment Criterion 6 - Project methodology (30% weighting)	23		
	5.2.3	Assessment Criterion 7 - Capacity, capability and resources to deliver the project (30% weighting)	23		



	5.2.4	Assessment Criterion 8 - Overall Value and Risk of the Project (non-weighted)	25	
	5.3	The assessment criteria for Stream 4 (Incubator)	26	
	5.3.1	Assessment Criterion 9 - Project impact (40% weighting)	26	
	5.3.2	Assessment Criterion 10 - Project methodology (30% weighting)	26	
	5.3.3	Assessment Criterion 11 - Capacity, capability and resources to deliver the project (30% weighting)	27	
	5.3.4	Assessment Criterion 12 - Overall Value and Risk of the Project (non-weighted)	28	
	5.4	Health research involving Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander peoples	29	
	5.5	Consumer and community involvement	30	
6.	How to	apply	30	
	6.1	Joint (consortia) applications	31	
	6.2	Timing of the grant opportunity process	31	
	6.3	Questions during the application process	33	
	6.4	Completing the grant application	33	
7.	The grant selection process			
	7.1	Assessment of grant applications	43	
	7.2	Who will assess applications?	44	
	7.3	Who will approve grants?	45	
8.	Notifica	ation of application outcomes	45	
9.	Success	ful grant applications	45	
	9.1	The grant agreement	46	
	9.2	Grant agreement variations	47	
	9.3	Project specific legislation, policies and industry standards	47	
	9.4	How we pay the grant	47	
10.	Annoui	ncement of grants	48	
11. How we monitor your grant activity				
	11.1	Keeping us informed	48	
	11.2	Reporting	49	
	11.2.1	Progress reports	49	
	11.2.2	Annual financial reports	50	
	11.2.3	End of project report	50	
	11.2.4	Ad-hoc reports	50	
	11.3	Registration of clinical trials	50	

13.	Glossar	·v	54
	12.6	Complaints in relation to funding outcomes	53
	12.5	Enquiries and feedback	53
	12.4	Freedom of information	53
	12.3	When we may disclose confidential information	52
	12.2	Privacy, confidentiality and protection of personal information	52
	12.1	Conflicts of interest	51
12.	Probity	·	51
	11.8	Grant acknowledgement	51
	11.7	Evaluation	51
	11.6	Dissemination of research outcomes	50
	11.5	Compliance visits	50
	11.4	Independent audits	50

Medical Research Future Fund (MRFF) Dementia, Ageing and Aged Care Mission: 2021 Dementia, Ageing and Aged Care Grant Opportunity process

The Medical Research Future Fund is designed to achieve Australian Government objectives

This grant opportunity is part of the above grant program, which contributes to the Department of Health's Outcome 1. The Department of Health works with stakeholders to plan and design the grant program according to the *Commonwealth Grants Rules and Guidelines*.



Your organisation registers to become an MRFF 'Eligible Organisation'

If your organisation is not already an MRFF Eligible Organisation (i.e. approved to submit MRFF grant applications and receive MRFF funding through NHMRC), your organisation should check its eligibility and then submit an MRFF Eligible Organisation certification form. The form is available on the NHMRC website. A list of existing, already approved Eligible Organisations is also available on NHMRC's website.



The grant opportunity opens

We publish the grant guidelines on GrantConnect.



You complete and submit a grant application

You complete the application form for the grant opportunity in the NHMRC's Granting System (Sapphire). Your application must address all of the eligibility and relevant assessment criteria to be considered for a grant. Your Eligible Organisation's Research Administration Officer (RAO) then certifies and submits the application form.



We assess all grant applications

We review all applications against eligibility criteria. Then a grant assessment committee assesses eligible applications against the relevant technical assessment criteria (weighted) and the relevant non-technical assessment criterion (non-weighted).



We make grant recommendations

We provide advice to the decision maker on the recommendations of the grant assessment committee.



Grant decisions are made

The decision maker decides which applications are successful.



We notify you of the outcome

We advise you of the outcome of your application via Sapphire.



We enter into a grant agreement

We will enter into a grant agreement with the Eligible Organisation you applied under, if your grant application is successful. The type of grant agreement is based on the nature of the grant and will be proportional to the risks involved.





Delivery of grant

You undertake the grant activity as set out in your grant agreement. We manage the grant through the relevant Eligible Organisation. We monitor your progress and make payments.



Evaluation of the Grant Opportunity

We evaluate your specific grant activity, the Mission as a whole, and the MRFF. We base this on information you provide to us and that we collect from various sources.



1. About the Medical Research Future Fund

1.1 Medical Research Future Fund (MRFF)

The MRFF, established under the *Medical Research Future Fund Act 2015* (MRFF Act), provides grants of financial assistance to support health and medical research and innovation to improve the health and wellbeing of Australians. It operates as an endowment fund with the capital preserved in perpetuity. The MRFF reached maturity at \$20 billion in July 2020. The MRFF provides a long-term sustainable source of funding for endeavours that aim to improve health outcomes, quality of life and health system sustainability.

This MRFF investment is guided by the *Australian Medical Research and Innovation Strategy* 2016-2021 (the Strategy) and related set of *Australian Medical Research and Innovation Priorities* 2020-2022 (the Priorities), developed by the independent and expert Australian Medical Research Advisory Board following extensive national public consultation.

In the 2019-20 Budget, the Government announced its continued commitment to supporting lifesaving medical research with a \$5 billion 10-year investment plan for the MRFF. It will place Australia at the leading edge of research in areas like genomics and will support the search for cures and treatments, including for rare cancers. The plan is underpinned by four key themes – patients, researchers, translation and missions.

1.2 About the Dementia, Ageing and Aged Care Mission

The Dementia, Ageing and Aged Care Mission (the Mission) will invest \$185 million over 10 years under the Medical Research Future Fund (MRFF) to improve outcomes for people living with dementia and older Australians. The Dementia, Ageing and Aged Care Mission will support older people to maintain their health and quality of life as they age, live independently for longer and access quality care when they need it.

The impact of dementia, ageing and aged care in Australia is considerable and continues to grow as our older population grows. In 2017, 15% of Australia's total population — around 3.8 million people — were aged 65 and over. By 2057, this figure is projected to grow to more than 8.8 million, or around 22% of the population.

Dementia is the second leading cause of death in Australia, and the number one cause of death for women. There are currently more than 447,000 people living with dementia in Australia, with this number expected to reach around 1.1 million by 2058¹.

The scope of the Dementia, Ageing and Aged Care Mission is to invest in research that enhances the lives of Australians as they age by:

- reducing the impact of dementia and chronic diseases
- extending the healthy, active years of life, compressing the period of morbidity, and reducing the need for health and aged care services
- ensuring that Australians and the health system are equipped for people to 'age well'
- identifying appropriate support and care models to help older Australians with chronic diseases to live meaningful lives.

The goal of the Dementia, Ageing and Aged Care Mission is to improve quality of life for Australians as they age. It intends to generate measurable improvements in the:

¹ Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. (2021). Deaths in Australia. Retrieved from https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/life-expectancy-death/deaths-in-australia



- detection, prevention, assessment and treatment of dementia, and care and support for people living with dementia
- average healthy lifespan experienced by older Australians
- consistency and quality of care for older Australians across all care settings.

The Royal Commission into Aged Care Quality and Safety (the Commission) was established on 8 October 2018 to inquire into the quality and safety of aged care in Australia. The Commission received more than 10,500 submissions and the appointed Commissioners delivered a Final Report on 26 February 2021.

As part of the Final Report, 148 wide-ranging recommendations were made for the fundamental reform of the aged care system. This included funding research into health-related matters relating to aged care as well as "delivery of aged care, application of technological developments in aged care, better governance of aged care providers, and the socioeconomics of ageing,... the application of technology to improve workforce productivity and care quality, and testing innovative and creative ways to improve the quality and safety of aged care" (p.147).

The Commission's recommendations have been considered in the development of the Mission's Roadmap and Implementation Plan and a number of the highlighted issues are reflected in these guiding documents and in section 1.3 below.

Further information on the rationale of the Mission is available on the Department of Health website.

The MRFF Monitoring, Evaluation and Learning Strategy (the Evaluation Strategy) provides an overarching framework for assessing the performance of the MRFF and is publicly available on the MRFF website.

Projects funded from this grant opportunity will be monitored and evaluated against the Evaluation Strategy and the Roadmap and Implementation Plan for the Dementia, Ageing and Aged Care Mission. Applicants to this grant opportunity are expected to describe how their proposed project aligns with the objectives and outcomes of the Dementia, Ageing and Aged Care Mission as described in the Roadmap and Implementation Plan and the Measures of Success as described in the Evaluation Strategy.

For further details see sections 5 and 6.

There will be other grant opportunities as part of this Mission and we will publish the opening and closing dates and any other relevant information on the NHMRC website and GrantConnect.

We administer the MRFF according to the Commonwealth Grants Rules and Guidelines (CGRGs).

1.3 About the 2021 Dementia, Ageing and Aged Care Grant Opportunity

These guidelines contain information for the 2021 Dementia, Ageing and Aged Care Grant Opportunity.

The objectives and outcomes of this grant opportunity align with the Roadmap and Implementation Plan for the Dementia, Ageing and Aged Care Mission.

The objectives and intended outcomes of this grant opportunity are aligned with the following *Australian Medical Research and Innovation Priorities 2020-2022*:

- Ageing and aged care
- Digital health intelligence
- Primary care research
- Public health interventions.



Consistent with the *Medical Research Future Fund Act 2015*, the objective of this grant opportunity is to provide grants of financial assistance to support Australian medical research and medical innovation projects that:

- Stream 1 (Accelerator): conduct implementation research on preventive health care to:
 - better understand enablers and barriers
 - develop tools and strategies for improving access to and utilisation of care. Strategies should focus on mid-life and beyond
- Stream 2 (<u>Targeted Call for Research</u>): conduct research to support early diagnosis and treatment of conditions that are prevalent among older Australians. The research should address stigma and include vulnerable populations
- Stream 3 (<u>Targeted Call for Research</u>): through a competitively selected national consortium
 identify the key components of high-quality care for older Australians and how these can be
 combined to ensure optimal care. The national consortium will determine how to measure the key
 components for all specific care settings and population groups and ensure the components can be
 readily adopted and implemented nationally
- **Stream 4** (<u>Incubator</u>): improve care for older Australians by optimising informatics approaches that gather nationally representative data. The research must conduct a small-scale development project to establish feasible, evidence-based approaches that cover:
 - o an individual's journey across the care continuum
 - o variations in care across jurisdictions and between care settings, allowing for comparisons
 - the care provided to individuals so that differences between individuals in the same setting can be compared
 - o individuals' reported wellbeing in different care settings.

Stream 1 is intended to support large-scale interdisciplinary research programs that drive implementation of substantial improvements to health care and/or health system effectiveness.

Streams 2 and 3 are intended to support large-scale interdisciplinary research.

Stream 4 is intended to support early stage, small scale research projects that seek to assess the potential and feasibility of novel strategies to address critical or intractable health issues.

To be competitive for funding, applicants must propose to conduct research that delivers against the above objectives, and those of the Dementia, Ageing and Aged Care Mission. Applicants are encouraged to propose novel and/or innovative research and describe how the outcomes of the research will be translated into health benefits for Australians.

Applications to this grant opportunity must propose research that addresses <u>one</u> of the four Streams of research. An application may be submitted to <u>one</u> of the above four Streams only. Applicants must specify the Stream to which they are applying in their application.

The intended outcome of the research funded by this grant opportunity is to improve the health and wellbeing of Australians by:

- **Stream 1** (<u>Accelerator</u>): identifying and implementing interventions to extend healthy, active years of life
- Stream 2 (<u>Targeted Call for Research</u>): implementing appropriate support approaches that reduce stigma associated with dementia and enable better outcomes for older people, including those in vulnerable populations



- **Stream 3** (<u>Targeted Call for Research</u>): improving the consistency and quality of care for older Australians across all care settings
- **Stream 4** (<u>Incubator</u>): supporting the development of more effective, evidence-based care approaches using data for older Australians in care.

If applicants propose research that is not relevant to the desired outcome/s they will be considered against the assessment criteria and found to be uncompetitive. Eligible Organisations are requested to ensure they only submit applications that address the desired outcomes.

This document sets out:

- the eligibility and assessment criteria
- how we consider and assess grant applications
- how we notify applicants and enter into grant agreements with grantees
- how we monitor and evaluate grantees' performance, and
- responsibilities and expectations in relation to the opportunity.

The NHMRC is responsible for administering this grant opportunity on behalf of the Department of Health.

Impact of COVID-19

The MRFF acknowledges the potential impacts of COVID-19 on the health and medical research sector, including the ability of researchers to submit applications and undertake research. You will be asked to consider these impacts in your risk management plan. This information will be taken into account in the assessment of your application (refer sections 5 and 6.4).

You should read this document carefully before you fill out an application. We have defined key terms used in these guidelines in the glossary at section 13.

1.4 Encouraging Partnerships

Applicants are encouraged to seek strategic partnerships involving organisations whose decisions and actions affect Australians' health, health policy and health care delivery in ways that improve the health of Australians. Organisations that are capable of implementing policy and service delivery and would normally not be able to access funding through most MRFF funding mechanisms are highly valued as partners.

Partnerships and co-investment are encouraged in order to maximise impact of investment, provide opportunities for more mature sites/agencies to build the capacity of emerging sites/agencies, reduce duplication of activities, and reduce potential respondent administrative burden on participating communities. Partnerships are also encouraged to ensure the proposed research is of relevance to consumers and delivery of services, and to support translation of research outcomes into practice.

Partner organisations include:

- medical research institutes, i.e. organisations that have conducting medical research as a primary purpose, and which are also registered with the Australian Charities and Not-for-Profits Commission
- universities
- corporate Commonwealth entities, i.e. Commonwealth entities that are bodies corporate
- corporations, i.e. Australian public companies, Australian private companies and other incorporated entities
- those working in federal, state, territory or local government in the health portfolio or in other areas affecting health, such as economic policy, urban planning, education or transport



- those working in the private sector such as employers, private health insurance providers or private hospitals
- non-government organisations and charities
- community organisations such as consumer groups
- healthcare providers, and/or
- professional groups.

In some instances, a body of a type listed above may be eligible to apply for MRFF funding in its own right, for example in the case of commercial entities or non-government organisations that are corporations. The above list recognises the desirability of entering into partnerships as a means of advancing the outcomes of the MRFF and is not intended to imply that the types of bodies listed are ineligible to seek MRFF funding.

Partnerships with an overseas partner organisation are acceptable, provided the objectives of the grant opportunity are fully met. However, you cannot use the grant to cover retrospective costs or to support research projects undertaken outside of Australia (although funding can be sought to support the Australian-based components of multinational clinical trials).

While partnerships are encouraged, they may not necessarily be relevant for all projects. Where relevant, partner funding contributions will contribute to the assessment of project impact and overall value and risk, but are not a requirement (see section 5).

2. Grant amount and grant period

2.1 Grants available

The Australian Government has announced a total of \$185 million for the Dementia, Ageing and Aged Care Mission. For this grant opportunity, up to \$25.5 million of funding is available over three years from 2021-22 for the four Streams listed in section 1.3.

Each will be a separate funding stream. For Streams 1 and 2, applications will be funded based on rank until the total funding available for each Stream has been reached. For Streams 3 and 4, the top ranked application only in each Stream will be funded. The remaining applications across Streams 1 and 2 will then be pooled into a combined ranked merit list and considered for funding through an Innovation grant (see below) until the total funding available for this grant opportunity is reached.

For this grant opportunity, an application may be submitted to <u>one</u> of the above four Streams only. Applicants must specify the Stream to which they are applying in their application.

Four types of grants are available under this grant opportunity:

- Accelerator grants
- Targeted Call for Research grants
- Incubator grants
- Innovation grants.

We will award the most meritorious applications with an Accelerator grant (under Stream 1), a Targeted Call for Research grant (under Streams 2 and 3) or an Incubator grant (under Stream 4).

Applications to Streams 1 and 2 may be considered for an Innovation grant, if requested. Innovation grants fund smaller scope research projects so grantees can build evidence of the feasibility of the proposed project so that it can be more competitive in future funding opportunities.

For further information see section 3.1 and 7.



Applicants are encouraged to design a research project that best addresses the objectives and intended outcomes of the grant opportunity and propose an appropriate budget.

The amounts available for a single grant in each Stream are as follows:

- Stream 1 (<u>Accelerator</u>): the minimum grant amount is \$2 million, and the maximum grant amount is \$5 million
- Stream 2 (<u>Targeted Call for Research</u>): there is no minimum grant amount, and the maximum grant amount is \$2 million
- **Stream 3** (<u>Targeted Call for Research</u>): there is no minimum grant amount, and the maximum grant amount is \$3 million
- **Stream 4** (<u>Incubator</u>): the minimum grant amount is \$0.2 million, and the maximum amount for a single grant is \$1 million.

For Streams 1 and 2, the amount available for a single Innovation grant is fixed at \$0.2 million.

Grant funds will be provided to the Eligible Organisation according to the funding profile indicated in Table 1; however, grant funds can be expended across the life of the project (project period). See below and section 2.2.

Table 1. Funding profile over the grant period (\$ million - GST exclusive)

	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26
Stream 1	\$8.0	\$1.0	\$1.0	N/A	N/A
Stream 2	\$7.0	\$2.3	\$2.2	N/A	N/A
Stream 3	\$2.0	\$1.0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Stream 4	\$0.5	\$0.5	N/A	N/A	N/A

2.2 Project period

The maximum project period in each Stream is as follows:

- Stream 1 (Accelerator): five years
- Stream 2 (Targeted Call for Research): three years
- Stream 3 (Targeted Call for Research): three years
- Stream 4 (Incubator): two years.

The maximum project period for an Innovation grant in Streams 1 and 2 is one year.

3. Eligibility criteria

We cannot consider your application if you do not satisfy all eligibility criteria.

3.1 Who is eligible?

To be eligible you must be an NHMRC approved MRFF Eligible Organisation.

Information on becoming an MRFF Eligible Organisations can be found at: https://www.nhmrc.gov.au/funding/manage-your-funding/mrff-eligible-organisations.

Joint applications are encouraged, provided you have a lead organisation who is the main driver of the project and is eligible to apply.

This eligibility criterion derives from provisions set out in section 24 of the MRFF Act and cannot be waived. See also section 3.2.

Further, to be considered for an Innovation grant under Streams 1 or 2, an application must:



- not be awarded an Accelerator Grant under Stream 1 or a Targeted Call for Research grant under Stream 2, and
- propose research that is either led by an Early to Mid-Career Researcher (i.e. the Chief Investigator A is an Early to Mid-Career Researcher) or is conducted by a research team comprised of Chief Investigators of which at least 50% are Early to Mid-Career Researchers,
- include a two page pitch outlining the project's novelty and/or innovative approach, its
 contribution to the objectives and outcomes of the relevant Stream, and how the proposed
 research will support a more competitive application for future funding opportunities, and
- be recommended for an Innovation grant by the Grant Assessment Committee

For definitions of Early to Mid-Career Researcher, Chief Investigator and other terms in these guidelines see section 13.

3.2 Chief Investigators

Applicants must nominate a Chief Investigator A (CIA) who will take the lead role in submitting the application, conducting the research, and reporting as required under the grant agreement.

A person must not be named as a Chief Investigator (CI) on more than one application submitted to a Stream of this grant opportunity (i.e. a person may be named as a Chief Investigator on a maximum of one application per Stream). If a Chief Investigator is named on more than one application submitted to a Stream, both applications will be considered ineligible.

To facilitate collaborative research teams with the required capacity and capability to undertake the proposed research, up to 15 CIs may be included as members of the research team.

If a person is named as a Chief Investigator on more than one application within different Streams of this grant opportunity, the applications will be considered independently for funding.

It is generally required that, at the time of application submission, the CIA is an Australian citizen or is a permanent resident in Australia (see also section 6.4). The research proposal must involve the CIA being based in Australia for the duration of the grant.

Researchers who are not Australian citizens or permanent residents in Australia are eligible to apply as a CI but cannot be listed as CIA.

See also section 6.

3.3 Additional eligibility requirements

You are ineligible for funding under this grant opportunity if you have participated in the development of these grant guidelines.

Your application may also be ineligible and excluded from further consideration if it contravenes other requirements as set out in these grant guidelines. Examples include, but are not limited to:

- minimum data describing your application is not entered into Sapphire by the specified date
- the application is not certified and submitted via Sapphire by the RAO of an approved MRFF Eligible Organisation by the advertised closing date and time
- the Grant Proposal does not comply with formatting requirements and page limits
- the proposed research duplicates research previously or currently being undertaken. We may compare the research proposed in grant applications with grants previously or currently funded



- by the MRFF, NHMRC or other agencies (e.g. Australian Research Council) and published research (see also sections 4.7, 7.2 and 7.3)
- the application fails to accurately declare the source, duration and level of funding already held by the research team for research in the particular area of the application
- the application includes any incomplete, false or misleading information
- its aims are inconsistent with the object of the MRFF Act to improve the health and wellbeing of Australians
- persons named on the application are the subject of a decision by the NHMRC Chief Executive Officer or Delegate that any application they make to NHMRC, for specified funding opportunities, will be excluded from consideration for a period of time, whether or not they meet the eligibility requirements. Such decisions will generally reflect action taken by NHMRC in response to research misconduct allegations or findings, or a Probity Event. See the NHMRC Policy on Misconduct related to NHMRC Funding.

If a decision to exclude an application from further consideration is made, we will provide the decision and the reason(s) for the decision to the Eligible Organisation's RAO in writing. The Eligible Organisation's RAO is responsible for advising applicants of the decision in writing.

4. What the grant money can be used for

4.1 Eligible activities

To be eligible, activities in your Grant Proposal must clearly demonstrate their criticality in meeting the objectives of the 2021 Dementia, Ageing and Aged Care Grant Opportunity under Section 1.3. You can only spend grant funds to pursue the research activities described in your Grant Proposal.

You can use the grant to pay costs that arise directly from these activities. The following categories must be used in your proposed budget:

- Equipment
- Personnel (Personnel Support Packages)
- Other Direct Research Costs (DRCs).

Rules apply to each category of expenditure. Applicants are required to justify the budget requested for each year of the proposed research. Your budget, including your justification of the proposed expenditure, will be part of the value and risk assessment. Refer sections 5.4 and 6.4.

4.2 Equipment

You can request funding to pay for equipment costing over \$10,000 that is essential to the research. The total equipment requested cannot exceed \$80,000. Individual items of equipment costing less than \$10,000 must be requested within DRCs (see below).

Applicants must clearly outline the total value of all items of equipment for each year, why the equipment is required for the proposed research and why the equipment cannot be provided by the institution.

For each item of equipment requested, a written quotation must be received and held with the Eligible Organisation submitting the application, to be available to the Australian Government on request.

The Eligible Organisation must be prepared to meet all service and repair costs in relation to equipment funded.



Funds will not be provided for the purchase of computers except where these are an integral component of a piece of laboratory equipment or are of a nature essential for work in the research field, for example, a computer used for the manipulation of extensively large datasets (i.e. requiring special hardware).

4.3 Personnel

Salary contributions for research staff (CIs and Professional Research Persons) are provided as Personnel Support Packages (PSPs). The level of PSP requested in an application must match the roles and responsibilities of the position and the percentage of the PSP requested must reflect the required time commitment. Applicants must fully justify all requests for PSPs.

Table 2. Personnel Support Packages

Personnel Support Packages – for funding commencing in 2022				
Level	Description	\$ per annum		
PSP1	Technical support - non-graduate personnel Note: A PSP1 at 50% may be claimed for postgraduate students supported on NHMRC research grants	58,373		
PSP2	Junior graduate research assistant; or junior graduate nurse, midwife or allied health professional; or junior data manager/data analyst	72,888		
PSP3	Experienced graduate research assistant/junior postdoctoral research officer; or experienced graduate nurse, midwife or allied health professional; or experienced data manager/analyst	80,148		
PSP4	Experienced postdoctoral researcher or clinician without specialist qualifications (i.e., a researcher who may be considered as a named investigator on the research application)	94,666		
PSP5	Senior experienced postdoctoral researcher (i.e., a researcher who would normally be considered as a named investigator on the research application and is more than 10 years post-doctorate.	101,924		

Chief Investigators

Cls, including the ClA, may draw a salary if they are based in Australia for at least 80% of the funding period. Cls based overseas are not able to draw a salary, but salary support is available for research support staff based overseas (see section 4.1). Requested salaries must be based on PSPs.

Applicants can receive up to 100% salary across NHMRC and MRFF grants. Multiple partial salaries can be drawn up to 100%, if allowed in the grant guidelines for the respective grant opportunity.



Associate Investigators

An Associate Investigator (AI) is an individual who provides intellectual input to the research and whose participation reasonably warrants recognition. Als are ineligible to draw a salary from this grant opportunity. Up to 10 Als may be named in an application.

4.4 Other Direct Research Costs

For the purposes of this grant opportunity, other Direct Research Costs (DRCs) are costs that are integral to achieving the approved research objectives of a grant where the recipient is selected on merit against a set of criteria. Such costs must directly address the research objectives of the grant, relate to the approved research plan and require the associated budget to have been properly justified. DRCs may include the following:

- personnel costs related to contract staff and limited external persons (not for Chief Investigators or additional personnel). The basis for the costing must be included
- clinical services that are over and above standard care
- Medicare costs (out of pocket medical expenses)
- reimbursement of reasonable costs associated with randomised control trials (RCT)
- reasonable imaging and diagnostic costs (MRI, PET, CT, ultrasound, genotyping, biochemical analysis)
- equipment costing less than \$10,000 that is unique to the project and is essential for the project to proceed
- purchases of services directly required for the successful conduct of the project (including services from institutional facilities)
- specialised computing requirements that are essential to meeting project specific needs.

Publication costs cannot be requested in your application but may be listed as a direct research cost in your financial acquittal.

The above list is not comprehensive. Where a research cost is not included in the above list you should refer to the definition in the first paragraph of this section. If you are still unsure clarification should be sought from NHMRC. DRCs will be critically scrutinised during the assessment of applications and during on-site compliance monitoring visits.

4.5 Accessing existing research infrastructure

Applicants are encouraged to utilise existing research infrastructure to support their research wherever possible so as to reduce duplication and achieve the best return on project funding. DRCs can be requested to support access to research facilities and infrastructure.

Applicants are encouraged to consider utilising research infrastructure projects such as those funded by the Australian Government through the National Collaborative Research Infrastructure Strategy (NCRIS). The NCRIS projects encompass a variety of infrastructure relevant to health research such as the Translating Health Discovery (THD) project and the Population Health Research Network (PHRN) project. Further information, including access and pricing, is available at https://www.dese.gov.au/ncris.

Your approach to accessing research facilities or infrastructure may impact our assessment of the suitability and value of the requested budget. For information on how to include information on research facilities within your application refer to section 6.4.



4.6 Travel and overseas expenditure

Eligible travel and overseas expenditure may include:

- domestic travel limited to the reasonable cost of accommodation and transportation required to conduct agreed project and collaboration activities in Australia
- domestic travel for third parties (i.e. certifiers, tradesman), where the travel is essential to the successful completion of the grant activity
- overseas travel (where it is formally documented within your grant application and formally approved by the relevant Faculty Research Committee, or where subsequently requested, documented and agreed by the Delegate) as being essential to the conduct of the project, ahead of the travel being taken, will be limited to the reasonable cost of accommodation and transportation.

Eligible air transportation is limited to the economy class fare for each sector travelled. Where non-economy class air transport is used:

- only the equivalent of an economy fare for that sector is eligible expenditure
- the grantee will be required to provide evidence showing what an economy air fare cost was at the time of travel
- grant funding only up to the economy air fare cost at the time of travel amount can be used.

When considering an application for overseas travel, the Delegate will undertake a Value with Money assessment to determine whether the cost of overseas expenditure is eligible. This may depend on:

- the proportion of total grant funding that you will spend on overseas expenditure
- the proportion of the service providers total fee that will be spent on overseas expenditure
- how the overseas expenditure is likely to aid the project in meeting the program objectives.

Eligible overseas activities expenditure is generally limited to 10 per cent of total eligible project expenditure.

4.7 What the grant money cannot be used for

Indirect costs of research

You cannot use the grant to pay the indirect costs of research.

Indirect costs of research are Institution overhead costs that benefit and support research. They can include the operations and maintenance of buildings, provision of facilities and libraries, hazardous waste disposal, regulatory and research compliance and administration of research services. Although they are necessary for the conduct of research, and may be incurred in the course of research, they are costs that do not directly address the approved research objectives of a grant.

Costs that cannot be paid with the grant include, but are not limited to:

- airline club memberships
- computers, computer networks, peripherals and software for communicating, writing and undertaking simple analyses
- communications costs (mobiles, telephone calls)
- conference attendance, and associated travel
- entertainment and hospitality costs
- ethics approval costs



- furniture
- health insurance, travel insurance, foreign currency, airport and related travel taxes, passports and visas
- institutional overheads and administrative costs
- non-project related staff training and development
- overseas travel (except as provided for in section 4.6)
- patent costs
- personal membership of professional organisations and groups
- personal subscriptions (e.g. private journal subscriptions)
- physical space and all associated administrative, laboratory and office services
- purchase of reprints
- research infrastructure: facilities necessary for the research endeavour that a responsible Institution would be expected to supply as a prerequisite to its engagement in research.

Other ineligible expenditure

You cannot use the grant to cover retrospective costs or to support research projects undertaken outside of Australia (although funding can be sought to support the Australian-based components of multinational clinical trials). Applicants may request funding for a component of the research to be undertaken overseas if the equipment/resources required for that component are not available in Australia and the component is critical to the successful completion of the research project. Refer to section 4.6.

A grant cannot be provided to you if you receive funding from another government source for the same purpose. You can apply for grants under any Commonwealth program but, if your applications are successful, you must choose either the grant from this Program or the other Commonwealth grant.

Where you have submitted the same application to NHMRC and MRFF grant opportunities and have received an offer of funding from one of these sources, NHMRC and the Department of Health reserve the right to withhold any further offer of funding for the application.

Where it appears that an applicant has submitted similar applications for research funding and has been successful with more than one application, the applicant is required to provide us with a written report clearly identifying the difference between the research aims of the two research activities. If we do not consider the two research activities to be sufficiently different, an offer of funding for one of the applications may be withheld or withdrawn at the discretion of the Minister or their delegate, or you will be required to decline or relinquish one of the grants. See section 9.

5. The assessment criteria

You must address all assessment criteria relevant to the Stream to which you are applying in your application. We will assess your application based on the weighting given to each technical criterion and against the non-weighted (non-technical) assessment criterion.

The application form requests information that directly relates to the assessment criteria below. The amount of detail and supporting evidence you provide in your application should be relative to the project size, complexity and grant amount requested. You should provide evidence to support your responses to each criterion. Size limits apply to all responses.

We will only award funding to applications that score satisfactorily against all relevant criteria.



The assessment criteria for each stream are described within these guidelines as follows:

- Stream 1 (Accelerator): see section 5.1
- Stream 2 (Targeted Call for Research): see section 5.2
- Stream 3 (Targeted Call for Research): see section 5.2
- Stream 4 (Incubator): see section 5.3.

5.1 The assessment criteria for Stream 1 (Accelerator)

5.1.1 Assessment Criterion 1 - Project impact (40% weighting)

You are required to address this criterion within your Grant Proposal. Project impact is the potential to increase knowledge of important topics that achieve the outcomes of the grant opportunity and the Mission. You should demonstrate this by providing details of how your research proposal will be directly relevant to the objectives and desired outcomes of this grant opportunity and the Mission.

In your application you should:

- articulate how the proposed program of research addresses a systemic and significant health care
 or health system need that is of value to the community, health service providers, and health system
 managers
- demonstrate the relevance of the proposed project to national and/or global health priorities
- describe how the proposed program of research will drive a substantial or transformative shift in health policy and/or practice to address the articulated need within 12 months of the grant period ending

describe how the proposed project will strengthen capacity within the health sector for research, innovation and knowledge exchange

You should demonstrate how the outcomes or results you have identified against the MRFF Measures of Success are relevant and meaningful to the goal and aims of the Mission.

Please commence addressing this criterion by substantiating that the research addresses the objective of Stream 1 as outlined in Section 1.3.

Further instructions are in section 6.4.

5.1.2 Assessment Criterion 2 – Project methodology (30% weighting)

In responding to this criterion you should demonstrate your proposed methodology, encompassing the strengths and weaknesses of the study design and the scientific quality and feasibility of the proposal. You are required to provide information on appropriate milestones, performance indicators and timeframes.

You should also demonstrate that your proposed project:

- applies evidence from foundational or proof of concept research to justify and inform the feasibility of the proposed approach
- facilitates partnerships across the health sector, private sector and industry to establish a broad and inclusive program of research under a strong governance structure
- demonstrates evidence of community and consumer engagement and participation throughout the life of the program



- describes stepwise, measurable benchmarks and indicators for project and program progress to inform ongoing disbursement of funds
- include milestones, performance indicators and timeframes.

Grantees will be required to report against the milestones, performance indicators and timeframes at twelve month intervals.

Further instructions are in section 6.4.

5.1.3 Assessment Criterion 3 - Capacity, capability and resources to deliver the project (30% weighting)

This criterion is used to assess whether the research team named in your application has the appropriate mix of research skills and experience to undertake the research project. In demonstrating Capacity, Capability and Resources you should not provide information about your Eligible Organisation.

Your application must clearly demonstrate that:

- the research team has the capability and expertise to successfully deliver the proposed program of research, including program management and commercialisation, where relevant
- the team has the capacity and experience to implement an innovative and transformative program of work
- the members of the research team have access to the resources necessary for completion of the project
- the team has access to the required institutional and governance support required to monitor project and program progress
- the research team builds capacity by including researchers across career stages.

Each CI must provide an example from within the last 5 years of how their research has impacted policies or programs, through the translation or implementation of the research findings.

Your application should provide details of any relative to opportunity and career disruption considerations, where relevant.

Further instructions are in section 6.4.

Relative to Opportunity

For this grant opportunity, the policy is that assessment processes will accurately assess an applicant's track record and associated productivity relative to stage of career, including consideration as to whether productivity and contribution are commensurate with the opportunities available to the applicant. In alignment with NHMRC's Principles of Peer Review, particularly the principles of fairness and transparency, the following additional principles further support this objective:

- Research opportunity: Researchers' outputs and outcomes should reflect their opportunities to advance their career and the research they conduct
- Fair access: Researchers should have access to funding support available through NHMRC grant programs consistent with their experience and career stage
- Career diversity: Researchers with career paths that include time spent outside of academia should not be disadvantaged. We recognise that time spent in sectors such as industry may enhance research outcomes for both individuals and teams.



The above principles frame our approach to the assessment of a researcher's track record during expert review of grant applications. We expect that those who provide expert assessment will give clear and explicit attention to these principles to identify the highest quality research and researchers to be funded. We recognise that life circumstances can be very varied and therefore it is not possible to implement a formulaic approach to applying Relative to Opportunity and Career Disruption considerations during expert assessment.

Circumstances considered may include:

- amount of time spent as an active researcher
- available resources, including situations where research is being conducted in remote or isolated communities
- building relationships of trust with Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander communities over long periods and subsequent impact on track record and productivity
- clinical, administrative or teaching workload
- relocation of an applicant and their research laboratory or clinical practice setting or other similar circumstances that impact upon research productivity
- for Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander applicants, community and cultural obligations
- restrictions on publication of research undertaken in other sectors
- the typical performance of researchers in the research field in question
- research outputs and productivity noting time employed in other sectors. For example, there might be a reduction in publications when employed in sectors such as industry
- carer responsibilities (that do not come under Career Disruption below)
- calamities, such as pandemics, bushfires or cyclones.

Career Disruption

A career disruption involves a prolonged interruption to an applicant's capacity to work, due to pregnancy, major illness/injury or carer responsibilities.

Interruptions must involve either a continuous absence from work for periods of 90 calendar days or more and/or a long-term partial return to work that has been formalised with the applicant's employer.

The period of career disruption may be used to determine an applicant's eligibility for a grant opportunity or to allow additional track record information to be considered during assessment. See also *Relative to Opportunity* above.

Circumstances not meeting the requirements for consideration under career disruption may be considered under Relative to Opportunity.

5.1.4 Assessment Criterion 4 - Overall Value and Risk of the Project (non-weighted)

Your application should demonstrate the overall value and risk of the project, including that you have robust risk identification and management processes. This should include consideration of risks related to COVID-19 restrictions.

You should provide:

- Your Measures of Success statement
- Your proposed budget and justification



A risk management plan.

Taking into account the value of any partner contributions to your project, our assessment will also take into consideration whether the project provides value for money based on:

- the relative contribution of the outcomes or results you have identified against the MRFF
 Measures of Success to the goal and aims of the Mission
- whether the overall strategy, methodology, and analyses are well-reasoned, appropriate and feasible to accomplish the specific aims of the project
- the suitability of your proposed budget to complete all project activities
- how well the requested budget has been detailed and justified
- the value and type of any partner contributions to your project
- how soundly your risk management approach is demonstrated
- any risks identified as part of the assessment of your application
- the appropriateness of the submitted risk management plan in documenting key risks to the completion of the research proposal, including your plan to manage those identified risks
- how you propose to monitor and report risks (both those identified in your submitted risk management plan and those which may arise during your project).

Refer section 6.4 and to the Rating Scale for Overall Value and Risk for further information.

5.2 The assessment criteria for Streams 2 and 3 (Targeted Call for Research)

5.2.1 Assessment Criterion 5 - Project impact (40% weighting)

You are required to address this criterion within your Grant Proposal. Project impact is the potential to increase knowledge of important topics that achieve the outcomes of the grant opportunity and the Mission. You should demonstrate this by providing details of how your research proposal will be directly relevant to the objectives and desired outcomes of this grant opportunity and the Mission.

In your application you should:

- describe the potential impact of your research on health outcomes
- articulate how your research will deliver outcomes that are a priority for the Australian public, including details of community engagement and involvement during conceptualisation, development and planned implementation of your project
- demonstrate how a systematic review of the research literature has informed the proposed research
- explain how your research will provide high-quality evidence of the efficacy of new health treatments, drugs and devices utilising, where appropriate, the research capabilities of existing clinical quality registries
- where relevant, describe how your proposed project is engaging with partners (e.g. consumer, commercial, health service delivery, policy etc) to achieve the objectives of the grant opportunity and translate the research outcomes into practice, as quickly as possible
- demonstrate how your research will generate the intended outcomes (health outcomes or validated surrogate health outcomes) within 12 months of the grant period concluding.

Additionally, applications to Stream 2 should:



- address early diagnosis and treatment of at least one of the 10 major chronic condition groups identified by the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW) ² that are prevalent among older Australians
- address the potential impact on vulnerable populations
- describe how your project will contribute to a substantial breakthrough in addressing stigma that could be applied/implemented nationally and/or internationally.

You should demonstrate how the outcomes or results you have identified against the MRFF Measures of Success are relevant and meaningful to the goal and aims of the Mission.

Please commence addressing this criterion by substantiating that the research addresses the objective of the Stream to which you are applying as outlined in Section 1.3.

Further instructions are in section 6.4.

5.2.2 Assessment Criterion 6 - Project methodology (30% weighting)

In responding to this criterion you should demonstrate your proposed methodology, encompassing the strengths and weaknesses of the study design and the scientific quality and feasibility of the proposal. You are required to provide information on appropriate milestones, performance indicators and timeframes.

You should also consider the following questions in relation to the scientific quality of your research proposal:

- Is there a clear research question? Does the research measure health outcomes? Or validated surrogates for health outcomes?
- Is the methodology described in sufficient detail? Are the participants, intervention/exposure and comparators/controls clearly specified? Are data collection, management and statistical analysis described?
- Is the methodology appropriate for the research question? What are the strengths and weaknesses of the study design? Have any major pitfalls been overlooked?
- Is the research design feasible? Are the required expertise, tools and techniques established? Are targets for the recruitment of participants realistic? Will the study have sufficient sample size to be able to identify meaningful effect differences?
- Does the proposal include milestones, performance indicators and timeframes? Grantees will be required to report against the milestones, performance indicators and timeframes at twelve month intervals.

Further instructions are in section 6.4.

5.2.3 Assessment Criterion 7 - Capacity, capability and resources to deliver the project (30% weighting)

This criterion is used to assess whether the research team named in your application has the appropriate mix of research skills and experience to undertake the research project. In demonstrating

² AIHW commonly reports on 10 major chronic condition groups: arthritis, asthma, back pain, cancer, cardiovascular disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, diabetes, chronic kidney disease, mental health conditions and osteoporosis (https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports-data/health-conditions-disability-deaths/chronic-disease)



Capacity, Capability and Resources you should not provide information about your Eligible Organisation.

Your application must clearly demonstrate that:

- the CIs have an appropriate mix of research skills and experience to successfully undertake this research project
- the CIs have expertise sufficient to anticipate and solve potential obstacles (e.g. higher than anticipated non-compliance rates or new competing therapies) to the successful completion of the proposal, including those related to COVID-19 restrictions where relevant
- the CI team has expertise in all aspects of the Proposal, including the methodological and scientific underpinnings (e.g. statistics, bioinformatics and health economics)
- the CI team's previous research outputs demonstrate their capability to undertake the research project
- the research team builds capacity by including researchers across career stages.

Each CI must provide an example from within the last 5 years of how their research has impacted policies or programs, through the translation or implementation of the research findings.

Your application should provide details of any relative to opportunity and career disruption considerations, where relevant.

Further instructions are in section 6.4.

Relative to Opportunity

For this grant opportunity, the policy is that assessment processes will accurately assess an applicant's track record and associated productivity relative to stage of career, including consideration as to whether productivity and contribution are commensurate with the opportunities available to the applicant. In alignment with NHMRC's Principles of Peer Review, particularly the principles of fairness and transparency, the following additional principles further support this objective:

- Research opportunity: Researchers' outputs and outcomes should reflect their opportunities to advance their career and the research they conduct
- Fair access: Researchers should have access to funding support available through NHMRC grant programs consistent with their experience and career stage
- Career diversity: Researchers with career paths that include time spent outside of academia should not be disadvantaged. We recognise that time spent in sectors such as industry may enhance research outcomes for both individuals and teams.

The above principles frame our approach to the assessment of a researcher's track record during expert review of grant applications. We expect that those who provide expert assessment will give clear and explicit attention to these principles to identify the highest quality research and researchers to be funded. We recognise that life circumstances can be very varied and therefore it is not possible to implement a formulaic approach to applying Relative to Opportunity and Career Disruption considerations during expert assessment.

Circumstances considered may include:

- amount of time spent as an active researcher
- available resources, including situations where research is being conducted in remote or isolated communities



- building relationships of trust with Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander communities over long periods and subsequent impact on track record and productivity
- clinical, administrative or teaching workload
- relocation of an applicant and their research laboratory or clinical practice setting or other similar circumstances that impact upon research productivity
- for Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander applicants, community and cultural obligations
- restrictions on publication of research undertaken in other sectors
- the typical performance of researchers in the research field in question
- research outputs and productivity noting time employed in other sectors. For example, there might be a reduction in publications when employed in sectors such as industry
- carer responsibilities (that do not come under Career Disruption below)
- calamities, such as pandemics, bushfires or cyclones.

Career Disruption

A career disruption involves a prolonged interruption to an applicant's capacity to work, due to pregnancy, major illness/injury or carer responsibilities.

Interruptions must involve either a continuous absence from work for periods of 90 calendar days or more and/or a long-term partial return to work that has been formalised with the applicant's employer.

The period of career disruption may be used to determine an applicant's eligibility for a grant opportunity or to allow additional track record information to be considered during assessment. See also *Relative to Opportunity* above.

Circumstances not meeting the requirements for consideration under career disruption may be considered under Relative to Opportunity.

5.2.4 Assessment Criterion 8 - Overall Value and Risk of the Project (non-weighted)

Your application should demonstrate the overall value and risk of the project, including that you have robust risk identification and management processes. This should include consideration of risks related to COVID-19 restrictions.

You should provide:

- Your Measures of Success statement
- Your proposed budget and justification
- A risk management plan.

Taking into account the value of any partner contributions to your project, our assessment will also take into consideration whether the project provides value for money based on:

- the relative contribution of the outcomes or results you have identified against the MRFF Measures of Success to the goal and aims of the Mission
- whether the overall strategy, methodology, and analyses are well-reasoned, appropriate and feasible to accomplish the specific aims of the project
- the suitability of your proposed budget to complete all project activities
- how well the requested budget has been detailed and justified



- the value and type of any partner contributions to your project
- how soundly your risk management approach is demonstrated
- any risks identified as part of the assessment of your application
- the appropriateness of the submitted risk management plan in documenting key risks to the completion of the research proposal, including your plan to manage those identified risks
- how you propose to monitor and report risks (both those identified in your submitted risk management plan and those which may arise during your project).

Refer section 6.4 and to the Rating Scale for Overall Value and Risk for further information.

5.3 The assessment criteria for Stream 4 (Incubator)

5.3.1 Assessment Criterion 9 - Project impact (40% weighting)

You are required to address this criterion within your Grant Proposal. Project impact is the potential to increase knowledge of important topics that achieve the outcomes of the grant opportunity and the Mission. You should demonstrate this by providing details of how your research proposal will be directly relevant to the objectives and desired outcomes of this grant opportunity and the Mission.

In your application you should:

- articulate the capacity or need for a novel solution to a critical and/or intractable health issue that will be of value to the community, health service providers, and health system managers
- establish the feasibility of the proposed approach and its potential impact on health care practice, policy, or system effectiveness
- demonstrate how you will establish an evidence base for further research that focuses on implementing the proposed solution
- describe how your project will contribute to a substantial breakthrough in an area of unmet need that could be applied/implemented nationally and/or internationally.

You should demonstrate how the outcomes or results you have identified against the MRFF Measures of Success are relevant and meaningful to the goal and aims of the Mission.

Please commence addressing this criterion by substantiating that the research addresses the objective of the Stream to which you are applying as outlined in Section 1.3.

Further instructions are in section 6.4.

5.3.2 Assessment Criterion 10 - Project methodology (30% weighting)

In responding to this criterion you should demonstrate your proposed methodology, encompassing the strengths and weaknesses of the study design and the scientific quality and feasibility of the proposal. You are required to provide information on appropriate milestones, performance indicators and timeframes.

You should also demonstrate that your proposed project:

- utilises novel approaches, methodologies, instrumentation, and/or interventions
- includes a national and/or international landscape analysis and use its findings to justify the proposed approach
- establishes partnerships across the health sector that have the potential to transform the delivery of health solutions



- demonstrates evidence of community and consumer engagement and participation from the project's inception through to implementation.
- includes milestones, performance indicators and timeframes. Grantees will be required to report against the milestones, performance indicators and timeframes at six month intervals.

Further instructions are in section 6.4.

5.3.3 Assessment Criterion 11 - Capacity, capability and resources to deliver the project (30% weighting)

This criterion is used to assess whether the research team named in your application has the appropriate mix of research skills and experience to undertake the research project. In demonstrating Capacity, Capability and Resources you should not provide information about your Eligible Organisation.

Your application must clearly demonstrate that:

- the CIs have the capability, skills, leadership and expertise to successfully deliver the project
- the CIs have access to the resources necessary for completion of the project
- appropriate governance structures are in place
- the research team builds capacity by including researchers across career stages.

Each CI should provide an example from within the last 5 years of how their research has impacted policies or programs, through the translation or implementation of the research findings.

Your application should also provide details of any relative to opportunity and career disruption considerations, where relevant.

Further instructions are in section 6.4.

Relative to Opportunity

For this grant opportunity, the policy is that assessment processes will accurately assess an applicant's track record and associated productivity relative to stage of career, including consideration as to whether productivity and contribution are commensurate with the opportunities available to the applicant. In alignment with NHMRC's Principles of Peer Review, particularly the principles of fairness and transparency, the following additional principles further support this objective:

- Research opportunity: Researchers' outputs and outcomes should reflect their opportunities to advance their career and the research they conduct
- Fair access: Researchers should have access to funding support available through NHMRC grant programs consistent with their experience and career stage
- Career diversity: Researchers with career paths that include time spent outside of academia should not be disadvantaged. We recognise that time spent in sectors such as industry may enhance research outcomes for both individuals and teams.

The above principles frame our approach to the assessment of a researcher's track record during expert review of grant applications. We expect that those who provide expert assessment will give clear and explicit attention to these principles to identify the highest quality research and researchers to be funded. We recognise that life circumstances can be very varied and therefore it is not possible to implement a formulaic approach to applying Relative to Opportunity and Career Disruption considerations during expert assessment.

Circumstances considered may include:



- amount of time spent as an active researcher
- available resources, including situations where research is being conducted in remote or isolated communities
- building relationships of trust with Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander communities over long periods and subsequent impact on track record and productivity
- clinical, administrative or teaching workload
- relocation of an applicant and their research laboratory or clinical practice setting or other similar circumstances that impact upon research productivity
- for Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander applicants, community and cultural obligations
- restrictions on publication of research undertaken in other sectors
- the typical performance of researchers in the research field in question
- research outputs and productivity noting time employed in other sectors. For example, there might be a reduction in publications when employed in sectors such as industry
- carer responsibilities (that do not come under Career Disruption below)
- calamities, such as pandemics, bushfires or cyclones.

Career Disruption

A career disruption involves a prolonged interruption to an applicant's capacity to work, due to pregnancy, major illness/injury or carer responsibilities.

Interruptions must involve either a continuous absence from work for periods of 90 calendar days or more and/or a long-term partial return to work that has been formalised with the applicant's employer.

The period of career disruption may be used to determine an applicant's eligibility for a grant opportunity or to allow additional track record information to be considered during assessment. See also *Relative to Opportunity* above.

Circumstances not meeting the requirements for consideration under career disruption may be considered under Relative to Opportunity.

5.3.4 Assessment Criterion 12 - Overall Value and Risk of the Project (non-weighted)

Your application should demonstrate the overall value and risk of the project, including that you have robust risk identification and management processes. This should include consideration of risks related to COVID-19 restrictions.

You should provide:

- Your Measures of Success statement
- Your proposed budget and justification
- A risk management plan.

Taking into account the value of any partner contributions to your project, our assessment will also take into consideration whether the project provides value for money based on:

- the relative contribution of the outcomes or results you have identified against the MRFF
 Measures of Success to the goal and aims of the Mission
- whether the overall strategy, methodology, and analyses are well-reasoned, appropriate and feasible to accomplish the specific aims of the project



- the suitability of your proposed budget to complete all project activities
- how well the requested budget has been detailed and justified
- the value and type of any partner contributions to your project
- how soundly your risk management approach is demonstrated
- any risks identified as part of the assessment of your application
- the appropriateness of the submitted risk management plan in documenting key risks to the completion of the research proposal, including your plan to manage those identified risks
- how you propose to monitor and report risks (both those identified in your submitted risk management plan and those which may arise during your project).

Refer section 6.4 and to the Rating Scale for Overall Value and Risk for further information.

5.4 Health research involving Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander peoples

We are committed to improving the health outcomes of Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander peoples and encourage applications that address Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander health.

NHMRC has established certain requirements and processes designed to ensure that research into Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander health is of the highest scientific merit and is beneficial and acceptable to Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander peoples and communities.

To qualify as Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander health research, at least 20% of the research effort and/or capacity building must relate to Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander health.

Qualifying applications must address Indigenous research excellence in Sapphire, as follows:

- Community engagement the proposal demonstrates how the research and potential
 outcomes are a priority for Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander communities with relevant
 community engagement by individuals, communities and/or organisations in
 conceptualisation, development and approval, data collection and management, analysis,
 report writing and dissemination of results.
- Benefit the potential health benefit of the project is demonstrated by addressing an
 important public health issue for Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander peoples. This
 benefit can have a single focus or affect several areas, such as knowledge, finance and
 policy or quality of life. The benefit may be direct and immediate or it can be, indirect,
 gradual and considered.
- Sustainability and transferability the proposal demonstrates how the results of the project have the potential to lead to achievable and effective contributions to health gain for Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander peoples, beyond the life of the project. This may be through sustainability in the project setting and/or transferability to other settings such as evidence-based practice and/or policy. In considering this issue the proposal should address the relationship between costs and benefits.
- Building capability the proposal demonstrates how Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander peoples, communities and researchers will develop relevant capabilities through partnerships and participation in the project.

Your response will be taken into account when assessing your application against the Assessment Criteria relevant to your proposal (refer to the *Assessment Criteria Scoring Matrix* for further information).

You will address Indigenous research excellence within the form provided in Sapphire.



Further instructions are in section 6.4.

5.5 Consumer and community involvement

The Statement on Consumer and Community Involvement in Health and Medical Research (the Statement) has been developed because of the important contribution consumers make to health and medical research. The Statement's purpose is to guide research institutions, researchers, consumers and community members in the active involvement of consumers and community members in all aspects of health and medical research. NHMRC and the Consumers Health Forum of Australia Ltd worked in partnership with consumers and researchers to develop the Statement. Further information on the Statement is available on NHMRC's website.

Researchers are encouraged to consider the benefits of actively engaging consumers in their proposed research. Refer to sections 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3.

6. How to apply

GrantConnect (https://www.grants.gov.au) is the authoritative source of information on this grant opportunity. Any alterations or addenda to these Guidelines will be published on GrantConnect.

Applications must be submitted electronically using Sapphire. Electronic submission requires Eligible Organisations and Chief Investigators named in an application to register for an account.

If an organisation wishing to apply is not yet an approved MRFF Eligible Organisation, the organisation must complete an Eligible Organisation certification form and receive approval before the organisation will receive a Sapphire account. Information about becoming and MRFF Eligible Organisation and a list of already approved MRFF Eligible Organisations is available on the NHMRC website. If your organisation does not meet the eligibility criteria, your organisation may wish to partner with an MRFF Eligible Organisation to receive funding. See section 3.1.

Applicants who are not registered in Sapphire can submit a new user request via the system login page. Sapphire Tutorials and FAQs can be found here:

Tutorials: https://healthandmedicalresearch.gov.au/tutorials.html#

FAQ: https://healthandmedicalresearch.gov.au/help.html

Contact your RAO or the NHMRC Research Help Centre for further assistance.

Your application will consist of:

- a Profile Report containing information drawn from each Cl's Profile in Sapphire.
- an Application Report containing information that you entered directly into the Application Form in Sapphire.
- a Grant Proposal (including a Risk Management Plan and a Measures of Success statement). You will upload this PDF file into Sapphire. Refer to section 6.4.
- if applicable, an Innovation Grant pitch. You will upload this PDF file into Sapphire. Refer to section 6.4.
- a Declaration of Applicant Interests. You will upload this PDF file into Sapphire. Refer to section 12.1.
- letter/s of support from partner organisation/s (where relevant). These PDF files will be uploaded into Sapphire. Refer to section 6.4.



- letter/s from research facilities (where relevant). These PDF files will be uploaded into Sapphire. Refer to section 6.4.

Detailed instructions on completing your application are in section 6.4 below. Your Eligible Organisation is required to certify your application as correct and complete prior to submitting it to NHMRC.

Applicants should construct applications with the knowledge that the full application may be provided to Australian and international expert assessors.

All information submitted must be complete, current and accurate at the time of submission. Under section 136.1 of the *Commonwealth Criminal Code Act 1995*, it is an offence to provide false or misleading information to a Commonwealth body in an application for a benefit.

Examples of false or misleading information in an application include, but are not limited to:

- providing a dishonest statement regarding time commitments to the research
- providing incomplete or inaccurate facts regarding other sources of funding
- providing a fictitious record of your achievements
- falsifying claims in publication records (such as describing a paper as accepted for publication when it has only been submitted).

If we believe that omissions or inclusion of misleading information are intentional we may refer the matter for investigation and take action under these grant guidelines, the grant agreement or, for this grant opportunity, the NHMRC Policy on Misconduct related to NHMRC Funding.

6.1 Joint (consortia) applications

In some cases, the institution that will administer your application may differ from the institution in which you will actually conduct the proposed research. For example, many universities administer research being conducted in an affiliated teaching hospital. You are required to list participating institutions in your application and specify the percentage of the research effort being undertaken in the departments within these institutions.

Prior to submission your Eligible Organisation's RAO is required to assure us that arrangements for the management of the grant have been agreed between all organisations associated with the application.

6.2 Timing of the grant opportunity process

Minimum data describing your application must be submitted by the due date shown below. Applications that fail to satisfy this requirement will not be accepted.

Applications must be submitted to NHMRC by the closing date below. Late applications will not be accepted.

Requests for application extensions will be considered on a case by case basis and must be submitted by email to help@nhmrc.gov.au on or before the close date and time. Requests will only be considered for:

- unforeseen circumstances, e.g. natural calamities such as bushfires, floods or cyclones, or
- exceptional circumstances that affect multiple researchers, e.g. power and/or internet network outages, or



- where an applicant, or a member of their immediate family³, is incapacitated due to an unforeseen medical emergency, such as life-threatening injury, accident or death.

Extensions, if granted, will be for a maximum of seven calendar days. This is to ensure that subsequent assessment processes and approval of funding recommendations are not delayed.

Requests for extension submitted after the scheme close date and time will not be considered.

The expected completion date of your research must be nominated in your application and must not extend beyond the grant period.

If the organisation you wish to apply through is not yet an approved Eligible Organisation, it is important that the organisation submits their Eligible Organisation certification form as soon as possible, so there is enough time for the certification form to be reviewed, and if approved, enough time for Eligible Organisation set up in Sapphire before the minimum data due date.

Table 3. Expected timing for this grant opportunity

Activity	Timeframe
Applications open	22 September 2021
Minimum data due	5pm ACT local time on 2 February 2022
Applications close	5pm ACT local time on 16 February 2022
Assessment of applications	April 2022
Approval of outcomes of selection process	May 2022
Announcement of outcomes	June 2022
Notification to unsuccessful applicants	On announcement
Acceptance of grant offer	Within one month of formal offers
Activity commences	Within a reasonable time frame following execution of the grant agreement
End date	For Accelerator grants (Stream 1): within 5 years of execution of the grant agreement. For Targeted Call for Research grants (Streams 2 and 3): within 3 years of execution of the grant agreement.
	For Incubator grants (Stream 4): within 2 years of execution of the grant agreement.
	For Innovation grants (Streams 1 and 2): within 12 months of execution of the grant agreement.

³ Immediate family comprises a spouse, child, parent or sibling. It includes de facto, step and adoptive relations (e.g. de facto, step or adopted children).



6.3 Questions during the application process

Applicants requiring further assistance should direct enquiries to their Eligible Organisation's RAO. RAOs can contact NHMRC's Research Help Centre for further advice:

Phone: 1800 500 983

Email: help@nhmrc.gov.au

NHMRC will not respond to any enquiries submitted after the date and time indicated on the cover page of these grant guidelines.

Any alterations or addenda to these Guidelines will be published on GrantConnect.

6.4 Completing the grant application

Using Sapphire

Applications must be submitted electronically using Sapphire. Electronic submission requires approved Eligible Organisations and Chief Investigators on an application to register for an account.

Sapphire Tutorials and FAQs can be found here:

Tutorials: https://healthandmedicalresearch.gov.au/tutorials.html#

FAQ: https://healthandmedicalresearch.gov.au/help.html

If you have any technical difficulties, please contact your RAO or NHMRC's Research Help Centre on 1800 500 983 or by email to help@nhmrc.gov.au

Starting your application in Sapphire

Applicants must create a new application for this grant opportunity in Sapphire. The following advice is provided to assist you to complete specific sections of the application.

Minimum data

You must submit minimum data in Sapphire by the applicable due date and time.

Failure to meet this deadline will result in the application not proceeding.

Minimum data are indicated in Sapphire by a blue flag and are comprised of:

- Application Title (minimum of 10 characters)
- Application Details:
 - Eligible Organisation
 - Aboriginal/Torres Strait Islander Research (yes/no)
 - o Project Synopsis (see *Project Synopsis* below) (minimum of 100 characters)
 - Privacy Agreement
 - Stream applied for (one per application)
- Research Classification:
 - o Broad Research Area
 - o Fields of Research
 - Peer Review Areas (at least three subjects must be selected)



- Research Keywords (five keywords must be selected)
- Research Team:
 - Chief investigator A (a complete CIA Role, Name and Email).

Using placeholder text such as "text", "synopsis" or "xx" etc. is not acceptable as minimum data.

Please note you will also need to complete the Privacy Agreement in order to save your minimum data. Your RAO is not required to certify the minimum data. Applications should only be certified once complete and ready for submission.

Project Synopsis

A Synopsis of your application is required in the Sapphire form as part of the minimum data requirements. This information will inform the selection of assessors with suitable expertise to review your application, and for communication with various audiences regarding how the grants selected for funding will achieve the outcomes sought from this grant opportunity.

Applicants proposing clinical research, including clinical trials, should ensure that the Project Synopsis is written in plain English, incorporates Participant, Intervention, Comparator and Outcome, and concludes by stating why the research is important.

Publications (during the last 5 years)

For each CI, nominate up to five (5) publications from the past 5 years (taking into account Career Disruptions) in the free text fields provided. For each publication, sufficient information should be provided in an appropriate format for an assessor to identify the publication (maximum 200 characters including spaces and line breaks). Web links can be used to reference publications where there is no practical alternative (see section 6.4).

Provide an explanation of how the publications illustrate your capability to contribute to the proposed research (maximum 2000 characters including spaces and line breaks). Metrics (but not journal-based metrics) may be included in your explanation.

The assessment of publications will be against Assessment Criteria 3, 7 and 11 - Capacity, capability and resources to deliver the project.

Direct Research Costs

Enter details of the proposed research budget into Sapphire keeping in mind the level and duration of funding available for grants under this grant opportunity. Details on permitted uses of funds and setting of budgets can be found in section 4. All components of your budget requests are to be included in 'Direct Research Costs'.

Requests for Equipment, PSPs and DRCs must be included in your budget. For each item you must enter:

- the item type
- the name/description of the item
- the total value of the item requested for each year
- a justification for the particular item requested.



Applicants may request funding for services from research facilities required to undertake the Grant Proposal. These services may include, but are not limited to, biospecimens or data from biobanks, pathology services, clinical registries, the Australian Twin Registry, Cell Bank Australia, the Trans-Tasman Radio Oncology Group or clinical trial services.

Provide details of the costs of using the services of research facilities within 'Other Research Costs' in Sapphire and ensure they are fully justified. Applicants should consult with research facilities to ensure that the services they require can be provided and that the charges included in the research budget reflects their charges. Letters from research facilities confirming their collaboration must be uploaded into Sapphire in 'Third Party Research Facilities'. It is important that the name of the file is in the following format: CIA Surname_grant opportunity name_Research Facilities.pdf

Profile requirements

Instructions for entering Profile information in Sapphire are provided in the System (Sapphire) relevant user guides. All mandatory sections of your Chief Investigators' profiles must be completed.

It is important that CIs update their Profile in Sapphire prior to certification of the application by your RAO. Changes made to your CV after applicant certification will not appear in the submitted application. The following components of your Chief Investigators' Profile will be incorporated into your application:

Career Disruption (during the last 5 years)

For guidance on what constitutes a career disruption refer to section 5. If applicable, you (or members of your CI Team) should use this opportunity to declare any career disruptions that may be relevant to your career history.

If you have had an extended career disruption within the last 5 years, it is advised that you briefly explain this in your application and nominate additional research achievements from the most recent year/s without a career disruption.

For example, if in the last 5 years you have taken six months of maternity/carer's leave and then returned to work at 0.5 Full Time Equivalent (FTE) for three years before resuming at a full-time level, you will have worked an equivalent of three years FTE over the past 5 years. Cls should therefore:

- 1. provide the details of your career disruption/s in your Profile in Sapphire
- 2. consider including publication/s that predate 5 years by the claimed FTE (2 years in the above example) in your publications list (see below)
- 3. consider including research achievements that predate 5 years by the claimed FTE (2 years in the above example) in section D2 Chief Investigator capability and capacity of the Grant Proposal (see section 6.4). Please preface these items in D2 with the following sentence: The following have been included in accordance with sections 5.1.3, 5.2.3 or 5.3.3 and 6.4 of the guidelines (career disruption)

When providing the details for your career disruption in Sapphire, please select the nature of the career disruption from the drop down menu.

Impact

Provide a brief explanation on the impact the career disruption/s has had on your research and research achievements and associated productivity relative to stage of career. Applicants should not describe the



nature of the career disruption in this field. Note that this information will be provided to expert assessors. Maximum of 2000 characters including spaces and line breaks.

Additional research outputs

The Additional Research Outputs section of your Sapphire Profile does not need to be completed for this grant opportunity (refer to *Publications* and section D2 of the Grant Proposal).

Dates

You are required to nominate the periods in the last 5 years where you have had a disruption (approximate dates). Entries will be listed in reverse chronological order.

Relative to Opportunity (during the last 5 years)

If applicable, you (or members of your CI Team) should use this section to provide details on any relative to opportunity considerations and the effect they have had on your research and research achievements. For guidance on what constitutes 'relative to opportunity' refer to section 5.

My Grants (during the last 5 years)

Provide sufficient details about the funding to make clear what the funding was intended for, what you achieved and your role within these grants.

Other Funding (during the last 5 years)

Provide sufficient details about the funding to make clear what the funding was intended for, what you achieved and your role within these grants.

The Grant Proposal

You will upload your Grant Proposal into Sapphire as a PDF file. A pre-formatted Microsoft Word template for the Grant Proposal can be downloaded from the grant opportunity webpage on GrantConnect.

Applicants must use this template to complete their Grant Proposal. Mandatory naming, size and formatting requirements apply.

Table 4. Formatting Requirements for the Grant Proposal

	Formatting Requirements for the Grant Proposal
File format	The Grant Proposal must be saved and uploaded in Portable Document Format (PDF)
File size	The PDF file MUST NOT exceed 2MB in size
File name	The PDF file must be named as follows: CIA Surname_ grant opportunity name_document type.pdf e.g. Smith_2021 Dementia, Ageing and Aged Care_Grant Proposal.pdf
Page size	A4
Page limits	Page limits are specified for each component of the Grant Proposal.
Font	NHMRC recommends a minimum of 12 point Times New Roman. Applicants must ensure the font is readable.



Header	Application ID and CIA surname must be included in the header.
Line spacing	Single
Language	English
Web links	Web links are not permitted except in citations of materials only available online. The full URL must be provided and the style must allow identification from a printed version of the application.

Applications that fail to comply with the formatting requirements or the specified page limits may be excluded from consideration. Applicants and Eligible Organisations are advised to retain a copy of the PDF file. If printing the PDF file for the purposes of checking formatting and page length, ensure that page scaling is set to 'None' in the print settings.

For all Streams, your Grant Proposal must include the following components, and no other components:

Table 5. Grant Proposal Components

	Component Page Limit		
Α	Project impact	3 pages	
В	Project methodology 5 pages		
С	Milestones and Performance Indicators 2 pages		
D	Capacity, capability and resources to deliver the project		
	1. Team capacity and capability relevant to this application	1 page	
	2. Chief Investigator capability and capacity	1 page per CI for Streams 1, 3 and 4 ½ page per CI for Stream 3	
E	Overall Value and Risk of your project		
	Risk Management Plan	2 pages	
	2. Partner Funding	1 page	
F	Measures of Success statement 1 page		
G	References 1 page		

A brief description of each component is provided below.

A. Project impact (maximum three A4 pages)

This section should be used to address Assessment Criterion 1, 5 or 9 – Project impact. Applicants are requested to commence this section (i.e. the top of the first page) by substantiating that the proposed research project meets the objectives as described in section 1.3. Applicants should articulate how the proposed project will facilitate high-quality interdisciplinary research that addresses the needs of communities and health consumers.



Applicants to Stream 1 (<u>Accelerator</u>) should articulate how the proposed project will embed sustainable, systemic improvements to policy and/or practice within health care and/or the health system.

Applicants to Stream 4 (<u>Incubator</u>) should articulate how the proposed project explores the likely impact and broader applicability of an innovative solution to an unmet need in health care or public health and will provide findings that will be competitive in attracting further funding to develop or implement the proposed solution.

B. Project methodology (maximum five A4 pages)

This section should be used to address Assessment Criterion 2, 6 or 10 - Project methodology. Please provide sufficient background information to justify the research being proposed, identify the aims and approach to be taken and provide sufficient information on the research plan for assessors to assess scientific validity, research quality and feasibility. Provide details to demonstrate your access to critical resources that will support the research. This section may include background, defined aims and outcomes.

C. Milestones and Performance Indicators (maximum two A4 pages)

This section should be used to address Assessment Criterion 2, 6 or 10 - Project methodology. Please provide a table of milestones and performance indicators and corresponding dates. The approach should be specific to the proposed research project and provide for effective monitoring of progress at twelve month intervals (for applications to Streams 1, 2 and 3) or six month intervals (for applications to Stream 4). Applicants applying to this grant opportunity under the Dementia, Ageing and Aged Care Mission are encouraged to include milestones such as (as applicable) receipt of ethics approval for first trial site and all trial sites, enrolment of first participant, recruitment numbers per month, reporting to Human Research Ethics Committees (HREC) sites, budget targets, placement of data in a repository, close out and publication.

You must indicate how your milestones and performance indicators take into account potential disruptions to your research due to COVID-19 restrictions. Grantees will be required to report against milestones, performance indicators and timeframes at twelve month intervals (for applications to Streams 1, 2 and 3) or six month intervals (for applications to Stream 4).

D. Capacity, capability and resources to deliver the project

This section should be used to address Assessment Criterion 3, 7 or 11 – Capacity, capability and resources to deliver the project. Provide details of any relative to opportunity and career disruption considerations, where relevant.

1. Team Capacity and Capability relevant to this application (maximum one A4 page)

You should provide a summary of the research team's overall capacity and capability including:

- the expertise and productivity of team members relevant to the proposed project
- the team's influence in this specific field of research
- how the team will work together on this project
- how junior members are contributing to the capabilities of the team.



Applicants should clearly articulate how the proposed project encourages collaboration between health researchers, health professionals, the private sector, and/or industry.

Information about Associate Investigators must not be included as contributing to team capacity and capability.

2. Chief Investigator Capacity and Capability (maximum one A4 page per CI for Streams 1, 2 and 4; maximum ½ A4 page per CI for Stream 3)

Chief Investigators should use this section to highlight their research achievements. Each Chief Investigator should provide an example/s from the last 5 years (taking into account Career Disruptions) on the impact of previous research.

Some examples of research impact may include:

- Development of new knowledge within an internationally recognised field of research.
- Improvement to health in the Australian population and/or in Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander communities.
- Improvement to health systems, services, policy, programs or clinical practice.
- Development of a service delivery or system change, prevention or intervention program, device, therapeutic or change in clinical practice.
- Change in policy that has impacted social well-being, equality or social inclusion or impacted the social well-being of the end-user, public and community.

E. Overall Value and Risk of your project

This section should be used to address Assessment Criterion 4, 8 or 12 – Overall Value and Risk of your project. This Assessment Criterion is used to determine how well your project presents as an investment in the achievement of MRFF priorities, as well as that the application represents an efficient, effective, economical and ethical use of public resources. Your project should also thoroughly consider the risks associated in all aspects of the delivery of your project, and the impact of these risks. Your response to the criteria must consist of the following:

1. A Risk Management Plan (maximum two A4 pages)

Please provide a Risk Management Plan (RMP) that addresses key risks in relation to your research project and how you propose to address, manage, mitigate, monitor and report those risks including risks related to COVID-19 restrictions. Risk themes for consideration in developing your risk management plan are provided in the below table (the list is not exhaustive).

Risk Themes	Types of Risk
People	People capability Recruitment
	Project management
	Stakeholders Safety
Information	Intervention or procedures for gathering research data
	Data integrity / accuracy Data disclosure / unauthorised access



Governance	Accountability
	Assurance processes
	Litigation
	Reporting
Delivery	Scientific design / research integrity
	Budget / financial
	Innovation
	Resources
	Project failure
	Performance measures
	Poor practice / incorrect analysis
Regulatory	Legislation
	Ethics
	Policy

STEP 1: Provide a tabulated list of the key risks in the following format:

Risk theme	Risk	How risk is mitigated / managed

STEP 2: You must also explain how you propose to monitor and report risks (both those identified in your submitted risk management plan and those which may arise during your project):

- describe your proposed approach for monitoring risks (e.g. timing of review, what risk ratings you propose to use in monitoring, whose responsibility)
- describe how you plan to report on risks (e.g. what you will report, what process, to who and at what point).

The RMP (incorporating STEPS 1 and 2) must be no longer than two A4 pages in length.

2. Partner Funding (maximum one A4 page)

Applicants should provide a tabulated list of any contributions (either funding or in-kind) from partner organisations in the following format:

Name of partner	Type of contribution	Value of contribution (funding (\$) or equivalent)

Note that applicants are required to submit a letter of support from each partner organisation as part of their application. See *Letters of support from Partner Organisations*.

F. Measures of Success statement (maximum one A4 page)

This section should be used to address Assessment Criterion 1, 5 or 9 – Project impact and Assessment Criterion 4, 8 or 12 – Overall Value and Risk of your project. This statement is used to



determine the extent to which your project will contribute to the Measures of Success for the MRFF as described in the Strategy, taking into consideration the objectives and outcomes of the Dementia, Ageing and Aged Care Mission as described in the Roadmap and Implementation Plan. Your response must provide a tabulated description of how the research activities will contribute to one or more of the Measures of Success described in the Strategy and appropriate outcome/s or result/s against which your progress will be evaluated in the following format:

Measure of success	How the project will contribute towards the measure of success	Description of outcome or result against which the contribution will be evaluated

The statement must be no longer than one A4 page in length. Grantees will be required to report against the outcome/s or result/s at twelve month intervals (for applications to Stream 1, 2 and 3) or six month intervals (for applications to Stream 4).

G. References (maximum one A4 page)

Provide a list of all references cited in the application using a recognised citation style. Only include references to cited work.

Innovation grant pitch (if applicable)

You will upload your Innovation grant pitch into Sapphire as a PDF file.

To be considered for an Innovation grant under Streams 1 and 2, an application must include a two page pitch which outlines the project's novelty and/or innovative approach, its contribution to the objectives and outcomes of the relevant stream, and how the proposed research will support a more competitive application for future funding opportunities. The pitch must also include milestones and a budget that reflects the scope of the proposed Innovation grant.

The two page pitch will not be visible to assessors until after the other application material has been scored according to the technical and non-technical assessment criteria for the relevant stream.

Letters of support from Partner Organisations

Information on any partner organisation(s) contributing to your proposal must be entered into the 'Partner Organisations' section within the application form in Sapphire. Provide the name and address of the partner organisation and the details of an authorised officer within the organisation. The authorised officer must be a person occupying a position with responsibility for the Partner's participation in the research who has the authorisation to expend the partner's money or resources.

A letter of support should be uploaded for each partner organisation listed in section 6.4. The letter must be on the partner organisation's letterhead and be signed by the authorised officer (see above). Please note that CIs and AIs should not sign the letter of support unless they are a representative of the partner organisation and have the authorisation to expend the partner's money or resources.

Each letter of support should be no more than two A4 pages in length and must include:

- application number and title



- a brief description of the partner organisation
- the authorised officer's role within the organisation
- the organisation's lead researcher for the study (name, position held and a brief background)
- information on the financial and/or in-kind support for the proposed research that are the responsibility of the partner organisation
- consent for the Australian Government to identify the partner organisation in media releases, on websites and in future grant opportunity documentation
- a weblink to the partner organisation's most recent annual report the full URL must be provided and the style must allow identification from a printed version of the application.

Letters of support should comply with the formatting requirements for the Grant Proposal (see section 6.4) with exceptions to provide for the use of organisational letterheads and a weblink to the annual report. It is important that the title of the file is in the following format: CIA Surname_grant opportunity name_LoS_organisation name (or acronym).pdf

Submitting the application

Prior to submitting the application the CIA and RAO must ensure that:

- all CIs have provided written agreement to the CIA for the final application to be certified,
- all personnel have provided written agreement to their being named in the application, to participate in the manner described in the application and to the use of their personal information as described in the *NHMRC Privacy Policy*.

Once all Profile details, application form details and PDF documents have been entered/uploaded into Sapphire, the application can be certified and submitted.

Certification is required of both the CIA and Eligible Organisation. Please review the application to ensure it is accurate and complete and meets all eligibility requirements.

The CIA must provide the RAO with evidence that the application is complete. This written evidence should be retained by the Eligible Organisation and must be provided to us on request. The following assurances, acknowledgements and undertakings are required of the CIA prior to submitting an application:

- All required information has been provided and is complete, current and correct
- All eligibility and other application requirements have been met
- All personnel contributing to the research activity have familiarised themselves with the Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research, the National Statement of the Ethical Conduct of Human Research, the Australian Code for the Care and Use of Animals for Scientific Purposes and other relevant NHMRC policies concerning the conduct of research, and agree to conduct themselves in accordance with those policies

That the application may be excluded from consideration if found to be in breach of any requirements, in accordance with section 3.

and if funded,

- the research will be carried out in strict accordance with the grant guidelines and the funding agreement, and
- the research may be used to inform evaluations of the grant opportunity and the Program.



The following assurances, acknowledgements and undertakings are required of the Eligible Organisation prior to submitting an application:

- reasonable efforts have been made to ensure the application is complete and correct and complies with all eligibility and other application requirements detailed in the grant guidelines
- where the CIA is not an Australian citizen or permanent resident, they will have the requisite work visa in place at the time of accepting the successful grant and will be based in Australia for the duration of the funding period
- the appropriate facilities and salary support will be available for the funding period.
- approval of the Research Activity by relevant institutional committees and approval bodies, particularly in relation to ethics and biosafety, will be sought and obtained prior to the commencement of the research, or the parts of the research that require their approval
- arrangements for the management of the grant have been agreed between all institutions associated with the application
- the application is being submitted with the full authority of, and on behalf of, the Eligible Organisation, noting that under section 136.1 of the Commonwealth Criminal Code Act 1995, it is an offence to provide false or misleading information to a Commonwealth body in an application for a benefit. This includes submission of an application by those not authorised by the Eligible Organisation to submit applications for funding to NHMRC.

Eligible Organisation's RAO must certify and submit applications. Once an application has been submitted and the application period has closed, the application is considered final and no changes may be made.

7. The grant selection process

7.1 Assessment of grant applications

NHMRC will assess the eligibility of your application at any stage following the close of applications. NHMRC may request further information in order to assess whether the eligibility requirements have been met. Eligible Organisations will be notified in writing of ineligible applications and are responsible for advising applicants.

If eligible, we will then assess your application on its merits, based on:

- how well it meets the assessment criteria
- whether it provides value with relevant money.⁴

Scoring of the technical assessment criteria will be done in accordance with the Assessment Criteria Scoring Matrices provided with these guidelines (Matrix - Accelerator (for Stream 1), Matrix - Targeted Call for Research (for Streams 2 and 3) and Matrix - Incubator (for Stream 3)).

Rating of the non-technical (Overall Value and Risk of your project) assessment criterion will be done in accordance with the *Rating Scale for Overall Value and Risk* of your project provided with these guidelines.

⁴ See glossary for an explanation of 'value with money'.



To be awarded MRFF funding for an Accelerator grant, Targeted Call for Research grant or Incubator grant, applications must receive a score of 4 or higher against each of the weighted technical assessment criteria (criteria 1, 2 and 3 for Stream 1; criteria 5, 6, and 7 for Streams 2 and 3; criteria 9, 10, and 11 for Stream 4), and a rating of 'Good' or 'Excellent' for the non-weighted assessment criterion (criterion 4 for Stream 1; criterion 8 for Streams 2 and 3; criterion 12 for Stream 4). When assessing the extent to which the application represents value with relevant money, we will have regard to:

- potential contribution to the achievement of outcomes of this grant opportunity and the MRFF, relative to the value of the grant amount sought, and
- the extent to which the evidence in the application demonstrates that it will contribute to meeting the outcomes/objectives.

Innovation grants

Innovation grants are intended to provide funding for potentially impactful and innovative projects that require additional research to build evidence of their feasibility. For example, the project may benefit from the collection and provision of additional preliminary or supporting data to justify the feasibility of the proposed research.

Innovation grant funding is to support the project to be more competitive for funding through other MRFF grant opportunities or through the NHMRC.

In making a recommendation for an Innovation grant, the Grant Assessment Committee will consider the two page pitch to determine if funding the application will build evidence to support the feasibility of the project and support a more competitive application to future funding opportunities.

7.2 Who will assess applications?

Applications will undergo rigorous assessment, whereby they are subject to scrutiny and evaluation by independent experts in the field(s) of the application, innovative research design, and/or research requiring multidisciplinary and novel methodology approaches. Assessors are required to declare material personal interests (financial or non-financial) and material personal associations in accordance with NHMRC policy on the declaration and management of conflicts of interest.

When developing your application, you should take into account the nature of expert assessment: assessors may draw as appropriate from the research literature and from their breadth of knowledge in the relevant discipline(s) and field(s). Issues not relevant to the assessment criteria are not to be considered.

Australian and/or international expert assessors will be selected taking into account the discipline(s) and field(s) of the research and other research keywords entered in Sapphire. *Applicants should construct applications with the knowledge that the full application may be provided to Australian and international expert assessors.*

Expert assessors will score your application against the technical assessment criteria (criteria 1, 2 and 3 for Stream 1; criteria 5, 6, and 7 for Streams 2 and 3; criteria 9, 10, and 11 for Stream 4) and the non-technical assessment criterion (criterion 4 for Stream 1; criterion 8 for Streams 2 and 3; criterion 12 for Stream 4). NHMRC may collate the scores against the technical assessment criteria provided by expert assessors to identify applications to be considered for funding and less meritorious applications which may then be removed from further consideration. A grant assessment committee may meet to discuss the application and finalise assessment scores.



NHMRC may seek additional advice on any grant application.

NHMRC will forward the outcomes of the assessment process to the Department of Health. NHMRC may also provide copies of all application information to the Department of Health.

Applicants must not make contact about their application with anyone who is directly engaged with its assessment such as a member of the grant assessment committee. Doing so may constitute a breach of the *Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research 2018* and result in the application being excluded from consideration.

7.3 Who will approve grants?

NHMRC will provide the outcomes of the assessment process to the Department of Health. This information will consist of a combined score against each of the individual technical assessment criteria, a weighted combined score against the technical assessment criteria and a separate rating against the non-technical assessment criterion.

The Minister or their delegate (the Delegate) will approve grants drawing on the outcomes of NHMRC's assessment process. The Delegate may take into consideration applicant interests declared pursuant to section 12.1.

The Delegate's decision is final in all matters, including:

- the approval of the grant
- the grant funding amount to be awarded
- the terms and conditions of the grant.

The Delegate must not approve funding if it reasonably considers the program funding available across financial years will not accommodate the funding offer, and/or the application does not represent value with money.

Refer also section 12.6.

8. Notification of application outcomes

You will be advised of the outcome of your application by NHMRC via the NHMRC's Grant Management System. If you are successful, you will also be advised about any specific conditions attached to the grant, including the timing of any public communications you make regarding being awarded a grant.

All applicants will be provided with feedback on the outcome of the application, which may consist of individual scores and an overall score against the technical assessment criteria, and a rating against the non-technical assessment criterion.

9. Successful grant applications

Successful applicants are expected to contribute to assessment processes for future MRFF grant opportunities which require expert assessment.

A grant cannot be provided to you if you receive funding from another government source for the same purpose. You can apply for grants under any Commonwealth program but, if your applications are successful, you must choose either the grant from this Program or the other Commonwealth grant.



Where you have submitted the same application to other grant opportunities and have received an offer of funding from one of these sources, the NHMRC and the Department of Health reserve the right to withhold any further offer of funding for the application.

Where it appears that an applicant has submitted similar applications for research/project funding and has been successful with more than one application, the applicant is required to provide a written report clearly identifying the difference between the research/project aims of the two research activities. If the two research activities are not sufficiently different, an offer of funding for one of the applications may be withheld or withdrawn at the discretion of the Minister or their delegate, or you will be required to decline or relinquish one of the grants.

9.1 The grant agreement

Your Eligible Organisation must enter into a legally binding grant agreement with the Commonwealth. A sample grant agreement is available on the NHMRC website.

We must execute a grant agreement with the Eligible Organisation before we can make any payments. Execute means both the Eligible Organisation and the Program Delegate have signed the agreement. We are not responsible for any expenditure you incur until a grant agreement is executed. You must not start any project activities until a grant agreement is executed.

The approval of your grant may have specific conditions determined by the assessment process or other considerations made by the Minister or their delegate. We will identify these in the offer of grant funding.

If the Eligible Organisation enters an agreement under this grant opportunity, you cannot receive other grants for the same activities from other Commonwealth, State or Territory granting programs.

The Commonwealth may recover grant funds if there is a breach of the grant agreement.

The offer may lapse if both parties do not sign the grant agreement within a specified time period. Under certain circumstances, we may extend this period. We base the approval of your grant on the information you provide in your application. We will review any required changes to these details to ensure they do not impact the project as approved by the Minister or the Delegate.

Where a grantee fails to meet the obligations of the grant agreement, the Commonwealth may suspend grant payments and take action to recover grant funds.

Your Eligible Organisation should not make financial commitments until a grant agreement and schedule has been executed by the Commonwealth and your Eligible Organisation continues to meet its undertakings, including:

- where the CIA is not an Australian citizen or permanent resident, they will have the requisite work visa in place at the time of accepting the successful grant and be based in Australia for the duration of the funding period
- the appropriate facilities and salary support are available for the funding period
- approval of the Research Activity by relevant institutional committees and approval bodies, particularly in relation to ethics and biosafety, will be sought and obtained prior to the commencement of the research, or the parts of the research that require their approval, and
- arrangements for the management of the grant have been agreed between all institutions associated with the research.



If the above undertakings are not being met your Eligible Organisation must notify NHMRC. Payment of the grant may be suspended until NHMRC and the Department of Health has considered a request from your Eligible Organisation to vary the grant conditions.

9.2 Grant agreement variations

We recognise that unexpected events may affect project progress. In these circumstances, you can request a variation to your grant agreement, including:

- changing project milestones
- extending the timeframe for completing the project, and
- changing project activities.

The program does not allow for:

- an increase of grant funds.

If you want to propose changes to the grant agreement, you must put them in writing before the project end date. We can provide you with advice on how to make your request.

If a delay in the project causes milestone achievement and payment dates to move to a different financial year, you will need a variation to the grant agreement. We can only move funds between financial years if there is enough program funding in the relevant year to allow for the revised payment schedule. If we cannot move the funds, you may lose some grant funding.

You should not assume that a variation request will be successful. We will consider your request based on factors such as:

- how it affects the project outcome
- consistency with the program policy objective, grant opportunity guidelines and any relevant policies of the department
- changes to the timing of grant payments, and
- availability of program funds.

9.3 Project specific legislation, policies and industry standards

You must comply with all relevant laws and regulations in undertaking your project. You must also comply with any specific legislation/policies/industry standards within the grant funding agreement, such as:

- The MRFF Act [1]
- Working with Vulnerable People registration
- State/Territory legislation in relation to working with children
- Ethics and research practices.

9.4 How we pay the grant

The schedule to the grant agreement will state the:

- grant amount approved by the Commonwealth

^[1] https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/C2015A00116



 the proportion of the approved grant amount that will be paid in each financial year during the term of the grant.

Your Eligible Organisation is responsible for paying any extra eligible expenses that are incurred.

All amounts referred to in these grant guidelines are exclusive of GST, unless stated otherwise. Eligible Organisations are responsible for all financial and taxation implications associated with receiving funds.

Payments will depend on satisfactory progress being made against milestones and performance indicators. The Commonwealth will review your progress reports to confirm that the milestones and performance indicators have been achieved. Where milestones and performance indicators have not been achieved grant payments may be suspended.

Expenditure against approved activities will be monitored over the duration of the funding period. Grant funding will be dependent on meeting any conditions and agreed milestones.

10. Announcement of grants

If successful, your grant will be listed on the GrantConnect website 21 days after the date of effect⁵ as required by Section 5.3 of the *Commonwealth Grants Rules and Guidelines*. The following information may also be published in a manner that allows it to be searched and viewed in a variety of ways:

- Application identity number
- Chief Investigator name/s
- Eligible Organisation
- Scientific title
- Broad Research Area
- Funding partners (if relevant)
- Approved grant amount and duration, and
- The plain English summary (or a part thereof).

11. How we monitor your grant activity

11.1 Keeping us informed

Your Eligible Organisation's RAO should let us know if anything is likely to affect your organisation or impact successful delivery of your project.

We need to know of any key changes to your organisation or its business activities, particularly if they affect your ability to complete your project, carry on business and pay debts due.

Your RAO must also inform us of any changes to your:

- name
- addresses
- nominated contact details, and
- bank account details.



⁵ See glossary

If you become aware of a breach of terms and conditions under the grant agreement you must contact us immediately.

Your Eligible Organisation must notify us of events relating to your project and provide an opportunity for the Minister or their representative to attend.

11.2 Reporting

Your Eligible Organisation is required to report to NHMRC on the progress of the grant and the use of grant funds. Where an organisation fails to submit reports (financial or otherwise) as required, the Commonwealth may take action under the provisions of the funding agreement. Failure to report within timeframes may affect eligibility to receive future funding.

You must submit reports in line with the grant agreement. We will provide the requirements for these reports as appendices in the grant agreement. We will remind you of your reporting obligations before a report is due.

We will expect you to report on:

- progress against agreed project milestones and MRFF Measures of Success
- risks arising during your project
- project expenditure, including expenditure of grant funds, and
- information about your project that supports evaluation of the MRFF.

The amount of detail you provide in your reports should be relative to the project size, complexity and grant amount.

We will monitor the progress of your project by assessing reports you submit and may conduct site visits to confirm details of your reports if necessary. Occasionally we may need to re-examine claims, seek further information or request an independent audit of claims and payments.

11.3 Progress reports

Progress reports must:

- include details of your progress towards completion of agreed project activities, including any risks arising and how these are being managed to ensure project outcomes
- include evidence to demonstrate progress against the outcome/s and result/s identified in your Measures of Success statement (see section 6.4)
- show the total expenditure incurred to date
- include evidence of expenditure
- be submitted by the report due date (you can submit reports ahead of time if you have completed relevant project activities), and
- include information about your project that supports evaluation of the MRFF.

We will only make grant payments when we receive satisfactory progress reports.

You must discuss any project or milestone reporting delays with us as soon as you become aware of them.



11.4 Annual financial reports

Annual financial reports are required in a form prescribed by the Commonwealth. At the completion of the grant, a financial acquittal is also required.

11.5 End of project report

When you complete the project, you must submit an end of project report.

End of project reports must:

- include evidence of completion of agreed project activities as specified in the grant agreement (including, but not limited to, evidence of project impact)
- include evidence to support achievement of the outcome/s and result/s identified in your Measures of Success statement (see section 6.4)
- identify the total expenditure incurred for the project
- include a declaration that the grant money was spent in accordance with the grant agreement and to report on any underspends of the grant money
- be submitted by the report due date, and
- include information about your project that supports evaluation of the MRFF.

11.6 Ad-hoc reports

We may ask you for ad-hoc reports on your project. This may be to provide an update on progress, or any significant delays or difficulties in completing the project, or to support evaluation of the MRFF.

11.7 Registration of clinical trials

Clinical trials supported through MRFF grant opportunities must be registered in the Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (ANZCTR) prior to commencement of the clinical phase. Information on how to register your clinical trial is available at www.anzctr.org.au.

11.8 Independent audits

We may ask you to provide an independent audit report. An audit report would verify that you spent the grant in accordance with the grant agreement. The audit report requires you to prepare a statement of grant income and expenditure.

11.9 Compliance visits

We may visit you during the project period, or at the completion of your project to review your compliance with the grant agreement. We may also inspect the records you are required to keep under the grant agreement. For large or complex projects, we may visit you after you finish your project. We will provide you with reasonable notice of any compliance visit.

11.10 Dissemination of research outcomes

Eligible Organisations and Chief Investigators must ensure appropriate safeguards are in place to protect patient privacy, intellectual property and commercially confidential information.

Except where publication may compromise the Eligible Organisation's obligations with respect to patient privacy, intellectual property and/or commercially confidential information, grantees are required to:



- if a clinical trial, submit the clinical trial protocol to an open access repository within six months of HREC approval, or publish a protocol manuscript as soon as practicable.
- within 12 months of completion of the grant activity, disseminate the research findings through:
 - o ensuring that research findings are available in an open access repository
 - o content specific forums, and
 - submission to peer-reviewed journals
- make lay summaries available to research participants, concurrently with sharing and dissemination of research results.

Grantees are encouraged to publish de-identified research data following completion of the grant activity in an open access repository and in accordance with best practice.

11.11 Evaluation

We will evaluate the grant to measure how well the outcomes and objectives have been achieved. Your grant agreement requires you to provide information to help with this evaluation. We may use information from your application and project reports for this purpose and for the purpose of the evaluation of MRFF more broadly. We may also interview you, or ask you for more information to help us understand how the grant impacted you and to evaluate how effective the program was in achieving its outcomes.

We may contact you up to two years after you finish your project for more information to assist with this evaluation, or the evaluation of MRFF more broadly.

11.12 Grant acknowledgement

If you make a public statement about a project funded under the program, including in a brochure or publication, you must acknowledge the grant by using the following:

'This project received grant funding from the Australian Government.'

If you erect signage in relation to the project, the signage must contain an acknowledgement of the grant.

12. Probity

We will make sure that the grant opportunity process is fair, according to the published guidelines, incorporates appropriate safeguards against fraud, unlawful activities and other inappropriate conduct and is consistent with the CGRGs.

12.1 Conflicts of interest

Any conflicts of interest could affect the performance of the grant opportunity or program. There may be a conflict of interest, or perceived conflict of interest, if our staff, any member of a committee or advisor and/or you or any of your personnel:

 has a professional, commercial or personal relationship with a party who is able to influence the application selection process, such as an Australian Government officer or member of an external panel



- has a relationship with or interest in, an organisation, which is likely to interfere with or restrict the applicants from carrying out the proposed activities fairly and independently, or
- has a relationship with, or interest in, an organisation from which they will receive personal gain because the organisation receives a grant under the grant program/ grant opportunity.

As part of your application, we will ask you to declare any perceived or existing conflicts of interests or confirm that, to the best of your knowledge, there is no conflict of interest.

Your declaration of applicant interests will take the form of a single PDF file that complies with the formatting requirements for the Grant Proposal specified in section 6.4. It is important that the name of the file is in the following format: *CIA Surname_grant opportunity name_Declaration of Interests.pdf*

The declaration should be uploaded into Sapphire.

If you later identify an actual, apparent, or perceived conflict of interest, you must inform us in writing immediately.

Conflicts of interest for Australian Government staff are handled as set out *in the Australian Public Service Code of Conduct (Section 13(7))* of *the Public Service Act 1999* (Cth). Committee members and other officials including the decision maker must also declare any conflicts of interest.

12.2 Privacy, confidentiality and protection of personal information

NHMRC is the Administering Entity for this grant opportunity. NHMRC will receive and assess applications. NHMRC will forward all application material and assessment scores to the Department of Health.

The Privacy Act 1988 (Privacy Act) requires entities bound by the Australian Privacy Principles to have a privacy policy. NHMRC's Privacy Policy is available on our website at: www.nhmrc.gov.au/privacy. Our privacy policy outlines the personal information handling practices at the NHMRC.

NHMRC may disclose your personal information to assessors from overseas countries, where there is a need, and in accordance with *the Privacy Act* and the NHMRC's *Privacy Policy*.

Applicants are required by the grant agreement to comply with the *Privacy Act 1988*, including *the Australian Privacy Principles*, and impose the same privacy obligations on any subcontractors engaged by the applicant to assist with the activity.

NHMRC may share information provided to it by applicants with other Commonwealth agencies for any purposes including government administration, research or service delivery and according to Australian laws, including the *Public Service Act 1999*, *Public Service Regulations 1999*, *Public Governance*, *Performance and Accountability Act 2013*, *Crimes Act 1914*, and the *Criminal Code Act 1995*.

12.3 When we may disclose confidential information

We may disclose confidential information:

- to the committee and our Commonwealth employees and contractors, to help us manage the program effectively
- to the Auditor-General, Ombudsman or Privacy Commissioner
- to the responsible Minister or Assistant Minister
- to a House or a Committee of the Australian Parliament.



We may also disclose confidential information if:

- we are required or authorised by law to disclose it
- you agree to the information being disclosed
- someone other than us has made the confidential information public.

12.4 Freedom of information

All documents in the possession of the Australian Government, including those about the program, are subject to the *Freedom of Information Act 1982* (Cth) (FOI Act).

The purpose of the FOI Act is to give members of the public rights of access to information held by the Australian Government and its entities. Under the FOI Act, members of the public can seek access to documents held by the Australian Government. This right of access is limited only by the exceptions and exemptions necessary to protect essential public interests and private and business affairs of persons in respect of whom the information relates.

If someone requests a document under the FOI Act, we will release it (though we may need to consult with you and/or other parties first) unless it meets one of the exemptions set out in the FOI Act.

All Freedom of Information requests must be referred to the Freedom of Information Coordinator in writing.

By mail: Freedom of Information Coordinator

National Health and Medical Research Council

GPO Box 1421

CANBERRA ACT 2601

By email: foi@nhmrc.gov.au

12.5 Enquiries and feedback

All applicants will be provided with feedback on the outcome of the application consisting of individual scores and an overall score against the assessment criteria.

12.6 Complaints in relation to funding outcomes

Applicants or grantees seeking to lodge a formal complaint about NHMRC's assessment process should do so via the Eligible Organisation's RAO, in writing, within 28 days of the relevant decision or action.

Each complaint should be directed to the Complaints Team at: complaints@nhmrc.gov.au. NHMRC will provide a written response to all complaints.

If you do not agree with the way NHMRC has handled your complaint, you may complain to the Commonwealth Ombudsman. The Ombudsman will not usually look into a complaint unless the matter has first been raised directly with NHMRC.

The Commonwealth Ombudsman can be contacted on:

Phone (Toll free): 1300 362 072

Email: ombudsman.gov.au Website: www.ombudsman.gov.au



13. Glossary

Term	Definition
Administering entity	When an entity that is not responsible for the policy, is responsible for the administration of part of all of the grant administration processes.
Application form	The document or computerised submission system that applicants use to apply for funding under the program/grant opportunity.
Assessment criteria	The specified principles or standards, against which applications will be judged. These criteria are also used to assess the merits of proposals and, in the case of a competitive grant opportunity, to determine application rankings.
Assessment Criteria 4, 8 and 12 – Overall Value and Risk Rating Scale	A document accompanying the grant guidelines that provides example benchmarks against Assessment Criteria 4, 8 and 12 – Overall Value and Risk to assist reviewers when scoring applications.
Commencement date	The expected start date for the grant activity.
Commonwealth entity	A Department of State, or a Parliamentary Department, or a listed entity or a body corporate established by a law of the Commonwealth. See subsections 10(1) and (2) of the PGPA Act.
Commonwealth Grants Rules and Guidelines (CGRGs)	Establish the overarching Commonwealth grants policy framework and articulate the expectations for all non-corporate Commonwealth entities in relation to grants administration. Under this overarching framework, non-corporate Commonwealth entities undertake grants administration based on the mandatory requirements and key principles of grants administration.
Completion date	The expected date by which that the grant activity must be completed and the grant spent.
Date of effect	Can be the date on which a grant agreement is signed or a specified starting date. Where there is no grant agreement, entities must publish information on individual grants as soon as practicable.
Decision maker	The person who makes a decision to award a grant.
Early to Mid-Career Researcher	An individual with a post-graduate degree who has been working in academic or other research-related employment for less than ten years excluding career disruptions. Age limits do not apply.



Term	Definition
Eligibility criteria	Refer to the mandatory criteria which must be met to qualify for a grant. Assessment criteria may apply in addition to eligibility criteria.
Eligible activities	The activities undertaken by a grantee in relation to a project that are eligible for funding support as set out in section 4.
Eligible application	An application or proposal for services or grant funding under the program that the Program Delegate has determined is eligible for assessment in accordance with these guidelines.
Eligible expenditure	The expenditure incurred by a grantee on a project and which is eligible for funding support as set out in section 4.
Eligible Organisation	An organisation that meets the eligibility requirements for receiving and administering MRFF funding and has been approved as an MRFF Eligible Organisation by NHMRC.
Grant activity/activities	Refers to the project/tasks/services that the grantee is required to undertake.
Grant agreement	Sets out the relationship between the parties to the agreement, and specifies the details of the grant.
Grant funding or grant funds	The funding made available by the Australian Government to grantees under the program.
Grant Opportunity	Refers to the specific grant round or process where a Commonwealth grant is made available to potential grantees. A grant opportunity is aimed at achieving government policy outcomes under a Portfolio Budget Statement Program.
GrantConnect	The Australian Government's whole-of-government grants information system, which centralises the publication and reporting of Commonwealth grants in accordance with the CGRGs.
Grantee	The individual/organisation which has been selected to receive a grant.
Minister	The Australian Government Minister for Health and Aged Care.



Term	Definition	
Personal information	Has the same meaning as in the <i>Privacy Act 1988</i> (Cth) which is:	
	Information or an opinion about an identified individual, or an individual who is reasonably identifiable:	
	 a. whether the information or opinion is true or not; and b. whether the information or opinion is recorded in a material form or not. 	
Program Delegate	An Australian Government official in the Department of Health or the NHMRC with responsibility for the grant opportunity.	
Project	A project described in an application for grant funding under this grant opportunity.	
Research Administration Officer (RAO)	The officer nominated by an Eligible Organisation as its contact person for the purpose of grant applications and grant agreements.	
Selection process	The method used to select potential grantees. This process may involve comparative assessment of applications or the assessment of applications against the eligibility criteria and/or the assessment criteria.	
Sapphire	NHMRC's online granting system.	
Value with money	Value with money in this document refers to 'value with relevant money' which is a judgement based on the Grant Proposal representing an efficient, effective, economical and ethical use of public resources and determined from a variety of considerations.	
	When administering a grant opportunity, the relevant financial and non-financial costs and benefits of each proposal are considered including, but not limited to:	
	 the quality of the project proposal and activities; fitness for purpose of the proposal in contributing to government objectives; 	
	that the absence of a grant is likely to prevent the grantee and government's outcomes being achieved; and	
	the potential grantee's relevant experience and performance history.	

